



## Original article

Scand J Work Environ Health 2013;39(4):351-360

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3338

### **Psychosocial working conditions, occupational groups, and risk of disability pension due to mental diagnoses: a cohort study of 43 000 Swedish twins**

by [Samuelsson Å](#), [Ropponen A](#), [Alexanderson K](#), [Svedberg P](#)

Use of twin data made it possible to account for familial confounding to the associations between psychosocial working conditions and disability pension due to mental diagnoses. After accounting for familial confounding, the associations between job demands, job control, and disability pension due to mental diagnoses remained, suggesting that these factors are independent predictors of disability pension with mental diagnoses.

**Affiliation:** Division of Insurance Medicine, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Berzelius väg 3, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden. [asa.samuelsson@ki.se](mailto:asa.samuelsson@ki.se)

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: [2011;37\(6\):464-472](#)  
[1994;20\(5\):349-363](#) [1993;19\(1\):21-28](#) [2006;32\(6\):443-462](#)

The following articles refer to this text: [2013;39\(5\):468-476](#);  
[2014;40\(4\):331-333](#); [2017;43\(5\):426-435](#)

**Key terms:** [cohort study](#); [disability](#); [disability pension](#); [JEM](#); [job exposure matrix](#); [mental diagnosis](#); [mental disorder](#); [mental health](#); [mental illness](#); [occupational group](#); [population-based cohort study](#); [prospective study](#); [psychosocial working condition](#); [sick leave](#); [sickness absence](#); [sickness absence](#); [Sweden](#); [twins](#); [working condition](#)

This article in PubMed: [www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23248027](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23248027)



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

## Psychosocial working conditions, occupational groups, and risk of disability pension due to mental diagnoses: a cohort study of 43 000 Swedish twins

by Åsa Samuelsson, MSc,<sup>1</sup> Annina Ropponen, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Kristina Alexanderson, PhD,<sup>1</sup> Pia Svedberg, PhD<sup>1</sup>

Samuelsson Å, Ropponen A, Alexanderson K, Svedberg P. Psychosocial working conditions, occupational groups, and risk of disability pension due to mental diagnoses: a cohort study of 43 000 Swedish twins. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2013;39(4):351–360. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3338

**Objectives** The aim of this study was to investigate associations between psychosocial working conditions, occupational groups defined by sector, and disability pension (DP) with mental diagnoses while accounting for familial confounding.

**Methods** A prospective population-based cohort study was conducted, including all Swedish twins who, in January 1993, were living and working in Sweden and not on old-age pension or DP (N=42 715). The twins were followed from 1993–2008 regarding DP. Data on DP, exposures, and covariates were obtained from national registries. Cox proportional hazards regression models with hazard ratios (HR) and 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were constructed for the whole cohort, and for discordant twin pairs.

**Results** The associations for the whole cohort between DP with mental diagnoses and (i) job demands (HR 1.23, 95% CI 1.06–1.43), (ii) job control (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.83–0.99), (iii) healthcare and social work (HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.04–1.92), and (iv) service and military work (HR 2.07, 95% CI 1.37–3.14) remained after accounting for possible confounders, including familial factors, while the associations between DP and (i) social support, (ii) type of jobs, and (iii) some of the occupational groups were attenuated, becoming non-significant. In the discordant twin pair analyses, commercial work was significantly associated with lower risk of DP (HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.32–0.95).

**Conclusions** One unit increase in job demands and working in the occupational groups healthcare and social work or service and military work seem to be risk factors of DP with mental diagnoses, independent from various background factors including familial ones. However, one unit increase in job control or working in commercial work seem to be protective factors of such DP, accounting for confounding factors of this study.

**Key terms** job exposure matrix; JEM; mental disorder; mental health; mental illness; population-based cohort study; prospective study; sick leave; sickness absence; Sweden.

In general, work is considered to be positive for mental health (1, 2). However, work can also be a potential risk factor for mental ill-health in that it might contribute to the development of and/or prolong a mental disorder (3). A mental disorder may lead to sickness absence and even to permanent work incapacity giving rise to the granting of a disability pension (DP) (3). In recent decades, the incidences of both mental disorders (4) and DP due to mental diagnoses (5) have increased. At present, mental diagnoses constitute the most common reason for DP (5, 6). Still, there is a lack of studies of work-related risk factors for being granted DP due to mental diagnoses (7).

Psychosocial working conditions can be risk factors of this kind and have often been measured using the Job Demand-Control-Support model (8–10). According to this model, jobs with high demands, low control, and/or low social support, and jobs with a combination of high demands and low control (high strain) and low social support (iso strain) are associated with increased risks of sickness absence/DP due to mental diagnoses (7, 11–18). In most of the previous studies of psychosocial working conditions, self-report surveys have been used to assess these factors (11, 15–19), but fewer studies have measured these working conditions by applying a validated job exposure matrix (JEM) (20–22), based

<sup>1</sup> Division of Insurance Medicine, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

<sup>2</sup> School of Medicine, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland.

Correspondence to: Åsa Samuelsson, PhD student, Division of Insurance Medicine, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Berzelius väg 3, SE-171 77 Stockholm, Sweden. [E-mail: asa.samuelsson@ki.se]

on mean scores of job demands and control and social support in different occupations. Moreover, only a few previous studies are based on population-based cohorts (23), which limits the generalizability of their findings.

Although the right to be granted DP is related to the individual's work history, there are only a small number of studies (24–29) that have investigated the association between occupation and risk of DP. These studies have either investigated specific occupational groups (24–26), and/or have not taken DP diagnoses into account (27–29). To the best of our knowledge, no previous studies of the association between occupation and risk of DP due to mental diagnoses have been published.

In observational studies, it is essential to account for potential confounding (30). Previous studies have established that female sex, older age, being unmarried, having children, lower socioeconomic status, and various regional locations are associated with DP, in general and also due to mental diagnoses (7, 31–33). Hence, it seems important to account for these covariates in analyses of work-related risk factors for DP.

Moreover, both mental disorders (34–36) and DP due to mental diagnoses (37, 38) have been shown to be moderately heritable in earlier studies. However, whether choice of occupation and, hence, type of working conditions are heritable or not has so far not been studied. Still it may be possible that genetics and shared environmental factors (familial factors) may confound the associations between occupational status, working conditions, and DP due to mental diagnoses. Familial factors can be controlled for by studying twin pairs who, in this case, are discordant for DP and occupation or psychosocial working conditions. This means that the twin pairs are matched for familial factors but differ in exposures (for example psychosocial working conditions). Furthermore, it would be possible to clarify the underlying pathways in the associations if they differed in whether or not they had DP [see (39) for details of the study design]. If the familial factors are important, then an association of the whole cohort will be substantially different from the results of the discordant twin-pair analyses. Conversely, if the analyses of discordant twin pairs are similar to the associations of the whole cohort, then one can assume that factors specific to each individual are more important. Only a handful of studies have used twin data in studies of DP (32, 33, 40–43), but none of these has studied psychosocial working conditions or occupational groups as risk factors for DP due to mental diagnoses. Occupational affiliation has, however, been employed as a basis for establishing socioeconomic status in some of the earlier studies (32, 41, 42).

This study had two aims: to (i) study prospective associations between psychosocial working conditions, measured using a validated JEM, and occupational grouping and the risk of being granted DP due to mental

diagnoses; and (ii) evaluate the influence of familial factors on these associations.

## Methods

### Study design and population and register linkages/data collection

This study is based on data from a prospective twin cohort, the Swedish Twin Study of Disability Pension and Sickness Absence (STODS), which includes all twins born 1925–1958 in Sweden (N=59 893) (44). The twins were identified in the Swedish Twin Registry (45), which provided information on date of birth, sex, pair identification, and zygosity. Included in this study were twins who, on 1 January 1993, were alive, living in Sweden, <65 years old, not on old-age pension or DP in December 1992, and registered as working in November 1990. The final study population (twins born between 1928–1958) constituted 42 715 individuals; of which 17 281 were complete twin pairs [4154 monozygotic (MZ), 6072 same-sex dizygotic (DZ); 6214 opposite-sex DZ, and 841 with unknown zygosity], and 8153 single individuals. For the latter group, information on the co-twin was missing due to death, emigration, DP, early old age pension, or not registered as working in 1990. The twins were 32–62 (mean 45) years of age in 1990. During follow-up, the twin individuals delivered person time in days up until death, emigration, reaching 65 years of age, old-age pension, DP, or end of follow-up (31 December 2008).

Data on DP, including date and diagnosis, were obtained from the Swedish Social Insurance Agency (44). Date of death was obtained from the Causes of Death Registry. All other data were obtained from Statistics Sweden. The Regional Ethical Review Board in Stockholm approved the study (2007/524-31).

### Disability pension

For this study, the outcome of concern was defined as being granted DP due to mental diagnoses during follow-up 1993–2008. Mental diagnoses were encoded using the 9<sup>th</sup> and 10<sup>th</sup> revisions of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) (46), with translation of the ICD-9 codes into ICD-10 (F00-F99). Information about DP diagnosis was missing in 1% of the DP cases.

### Occupation and psychosocial working conditions

Occupations were encoded according to the Nordic Standard of Occupational Classification (NYK, version 1985), encompassing a total of 320 occupational 3-digit

codes (21). We used these codes to effect a categorization into eight different sector-based occupational groups, namely: technology, science, social science, and art (NYK-codes 000–099); healthcare and social work (NYK-codes 100–199); administration and management (NYK-codes 200–299) [reference group (27, 29)]; commercial work (NYK-codes 300–399); agriculture forestry, and fishing (NYK-codes 400–499); transport (NYK-codes 600–699); production and mining (NYK-codes 500–599 and 700–899); and service and military work (NYK-codes 900–989).

Psychosocial working conditions were assessed on the basis of the Job Demand-Control-Support model (8–10) and measured by applying a validated JEM, which is based on data from the Swedish Work Environment Survey 1989–1997 (N=48 894), to the 320 occupational codes (20–22). Each twin in the cohort was assigned a separate mean score (range 0–10), based on occupation, sex, and age for job demands and control and social support, respectively. These mean scores were then used as continuous variables in the analyses. For each of the dimensions, higher scores meant more favorable characteristics (low demands, high control, and high support), while lower scores indicated the opposite. For descriptive purposes, the mean scores of each working conditions were split into quartiles and medians (47, 48). To measure type of jobs, the medians of job demands and job control were combined (47) into a 2 × 2 table with four categories: (i) high strain (high demands, low control), (ii) low strain (low demands, high control) (reference group), (iii) active (high demands, high control), and (iv) passive (low demands, low control). In addition to the above categories, a fifth category was defined by adding the dimension of low social support to high strain: iso strain.

The following covariates were included in the analyses of the whole twin cohort with psychosocial working conditions and occupational groups in relation to DP due to mental diagnoses: sex (with men as reference group), age (birth year), education (number of years of study), marital status [married (reference group) versus non-married], children living at home [no children living at home versus children living at home (reference group)], and type of living area [urban/semi-urban area (reference group) versus semi-rural/rural area] (49).

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were calculated, and hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI) were estimated using Cox proportional hazards regression models for the twins in the whole cohort. The underlying timescale started at point of inclusion in the study, and time was measured in days. The proportional hazards assumption was tested by examining the log-log curves for each categorical factor, and all the curves

were found to be acceptably parallel. To account for the influence of within-pair dependency on standard errors, the analyses were clustered on pair identity. Different strategies for censoring were adopted, but since there were minor differences between the strategies, we present here only the results of analysis censoring for no DP and other DP diagnoses. The HR were calculated for men and women separately, but since there were no major differences between the sexes based on similarity of HR and overlapping CI, we combined men and women in the further analyses although we adjusted all analyses for sex. The Cox models were first adjusted for sex and age (base model) and then for all the covariates (full model). Furthermore, to investigate the potential effect of psychosocial working conditions on the association between occupational groups and DP we included the continuous variables of psychosocial working conditions in the full model of occupational groups. Finally, interactions between job demands and control and social support were tested in models without other covariates. The statistical significance of the interaction terms was tested by the log-likelihood ratio test.

Thereafter, discordant twin pair analyses were performed using conditional Cox proportional hazards regression models, including only complete MZ and same-sex DZ twin pairs. The conditional Cox analyses were stratified by pair identity, allowing each twin pair their own baseline hazard; hence, the time to DP was studied in relation to the corresponding follow-up time for the co-twin. Only those twin pairs discordant on both DP and exposure contributed information to these analyses. First, we ran the analyses for MZ and DZ together to see whether there was any influence of familial factors on the associations between DP and (i) psychosocial working conditions and (ii) occupational groups. If the associations from the whole cohort, for example, attenuated or changed direction in the discordant twin pair analyses, it would suggest the presence of familial confounding, mainly from shared early environmental factors (50). Second, we ran the analyses stratified by zygosity. If an association from the whole cohort was found to be weaker but still remained among discordant DZ twin pairs, and is to a larger extent attenuated for MZ twin pairs, this would indicate the presence of genetic confounding (50). However, since there were no major differences between MZ and DZ twins, based on similarity of HR and overlapping CI, we decided to present the results for all twins together. The number of discordant twin pairs for DP due to mental diagnoses were 188 for MZ pairs and 323 for DZ pairs. All statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS version 20 (SPSS Institute, Chicago, IL, USA) and STATA version 11 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

## Results

In total, the twin individuals delivered 1.92 million person-days at risk of DP during follow-up from 1993–2008. The mean follow-up time was 12 years, and 7709 individuals (18%) were granted DP during follow-up. Of all DP, 1420 (18%) were due to mental diagnoses. Depression (F30–F39) and anxiety (F40–F48) diagnoses constituted 74% of the mental diagnoses, and schizophrenia (F20–29) (8%) and substance abuse (F10–F11) (7%) were the next largest diagnostic groups. More women than men were granted DP due to a mental diagnosis (63%), and mean age at DP due to mental diagnosis was 56 [standard deviation (SD) 6.0] years (table 1).

### Psychosocial working conditions

In the base models, each one unit increase in social support (HR 1.12, 95% CI 1.01–1.24) was significantly associated with an increased risk of DP due to mental diagnoses, while each one unit increase in job control (HR 0.91, 95% CI 0.87–0.96) was associated with a decrease in DP of this kind during follow-up (table 2). These associations remained after further adjusting for education, marital status, children living at home, and type of living area. Furthermore, in these fully adjusted models, job demands became statistically significantly associated with DP (HR 1.11, 95% CI 1.02–1.22). In the discordant twin pair analyses, the associations of job demands and control with DP remained and even became more strengthened for job demands, while the association of social support with DP was attenuated, becoming non-significant.

In the models including interactions between the three dimensions of working conditions, job demands  $\times$  social support ( $P < 0.05$ ) and job control  $\times$  social support ( $P < 0.001$ ) turned out to be statistically significant.

Having a job characterized as passive (HR 1.26, 95% CI 1.05–1.50) or iso-strained (HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.12–1.77), compared with a job characterized as low strain, was associated with increased risk of DP due to mental diagnoses (after accounting for age and sex in the models). These associations were attenuated somewhat in the fully adjusted models and were non-significant in the discordant twin pair analyses.

### Occupational groups

In the base models, all the sector-based occupational groups, with the exceptions of commercial work and agriculture, forestry, and fishing, were associated with DP due to mental diagnoses (table 2). After accounting for education, marital status, children living at home, type of living area, and psychosocial working

conditions, only the occupational groups healthcare and social work (HR 1.41, 95% CI 1.17–1.70) and technology, science, social science, and art (HR 1.29, 95% CI 1.06–1.57) were still associated with DP. In the discordant twin pair analyses, the associations of the occupational groups healthcare and social work and service and military work with DP remained, while the occupational group commercial work became statistically significantly associated with a lower risk of DP (HR 0.55, 95% CI 0.32–0.95).

## Discussion

This study of a large population-based cohort of twins adds to already-existing knowledge, based on unrelated individuals, of psychosocial working conditions and sector-based occupational groups as potential risk factors for DP due to mental diagnoses. On the one hand, we found that each one unit increase in job demands or control and having a job in either healthcare and social, service and military, or commercial work were independent predictors of DP due to mental diagnoses. On the other hand, we found that familial factors seem to influence the associations between DP and (i) each unit increase in social support and type of jobs and (ii) the occupational groups technology, science, social science, and art and production and mining.

Our finding that a one unit increase in job control was independently associated with a decreased risk of DP due to mental diagnoses confirms earlier studies (11–19, 51). However, the results that each one unit increase, rather than a decrease, in job demands or social support predict a higher risk of DP contradict those of previous findings (13, 17, 31, 52). Nevertheless, only the association of job demands with DP seems to be direct; hence not influenced by factors shared by the twins, such as family social background or norms or values of the family. One explanation for these contradictory results may be the application of a validated JEM (20–22), while the majority of other studies (11, 15–19) used individually reported data on experiences of such exposures. Also, previous studies have mainly studied these working conditions as categorical (median split, quartiles) rather than as continuous variables and have, therefore, only been able to capture variation within the different categories (11, 15–19, 47). Another explanation is that since the information about psychosocial working conditions was based on sector-based occupations, adjusting for occupation to account for the influence of socioeconomic status was not possible. Instead, we used education as a proxy for socioeconomic status in the models.

When it comes to types of jobs, our findings both confirm and contradict those of previous studies. In

**Table 1.** Descriptives for covariates and exposures in the cohort, specified for individuals granted disability pension (DP) with mental diagnosis, DP with other diagnoses, and had no DP during follow-up 1993–2008 (N=42 715). [MZ= monozygotic; DZ= dizygotic]

	DP with mental diagnoses (N=1420)					DP with other diagnoses (N=6289)					No DP (N=35 006)				
	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median
Sex															
Men	530	37				2745	44				18 323	52			
Women	890	63				3544	56				16 683	48			
Zygoty															
MZ	294	20				1353	22				8058	23			
DZ same-sex	467	33				2140	34				12 232	35			
Unknown	139	10				449	7				1911	6			
DZ opposite-sex	520	37				2347	37				12 805	37			
Age groups in 1990 (years)															
32–44	828	58				2286	36				18 425	53			
45–54	537	38				3165	50				11 033	31			
55–62	55	4				838	13				5548	16			
Marital status															
Married	677	48				4091	65				23 405	67			
Unmarried	743	52				2198	35				11 601	33			
Children living at home															
Yes	817	58				3530	56				21 985	63			
No	603	42				2759	44				13 021	37			
Education															
High (≥13 years)	394	28				781	13				8866	25			
Intermediate 10–12 years)	595	42				2658	42				14 751	42			
Low (≤9 years)	431	30				2850	45				11 389	33			
Type of living area															
Urban/semi-urban	1031	73				4028	64				24 172	69			
Semi-rural/rural	389	27				2261	36				10 834	31			
Psychosocial working conditions															
Job demands			6.05	3.23–8.09	6.19			6.27	3.64–8.46	6.32			6.00	3.23–8.46	6.09
Job control			6.42	2.30–9.05	6.62			6.19	0.82–9.15	6.32			6.66	0.82–9.48	6.84
Social support			6.48	3.58–7.75	6.48			6.40	3.44–8.71	6.43			6.33	3.44–7.99	6.32
Psychosocial working conditions (quartiles)															
Job demands															
Lowest quartile (<25%)	402	28				1026	16				10003	29			
25–50%	305	22				1447	23				8966	25			
50–75%	360	25				1729	28				8261	24			
Highest quartile (>75%)	353	25				2087	33				7776	22			
Job control															
Lowest quartile (<25%)	356	25				2151	34				7842	22			
25–50%	452	32				1732	27				8690	25			
50–75%	348	24				1433	23				8833	25			
Highest quartile (>75%)	264	19				973	16				9641	28			
Social support															
Lowest quartile (< 25%)	260	18				1472	24				8881	26			
25–50%	318	22				1347	21				9127	26			
50–75%	411	29				1636	26				8805	25			
Highest quartile (>75%)	431	31				1834	29				8193	23			
Type of jobs <sup>b</sup>															
High strain	164	12				869	14				4923	14			
Low strain	125	9				369	6				2727	8			
Active	448	31				1537	24				13 551	39			
Passive	549	39				2947	47				11 114	32			
Iso-strain	134	9				567	9				2691	7			
Occupational groups															
Administration and management	206	15				670	10				5969	17			
Technology, science, social science and art	250	18				662	10				6372	18			
Healthcare and social work	353	25				1259	20				5966	17			
Commercial work	75	5				415	7				2777	8			
Agriculture, forestry, and fishing	34	2				233	4				1381	4			
Transport	71	5				415	7				1842	5			

Continued

**Table 1.** Continued

	DP with mental diagnoses (N=1420)					DP with other diagnoses (N=6289)					No DP (N=35 006)				
	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median	N	% <sup>a</sup>	Mean	Range	Median
Production and mining	272	19				1769	28				7400	21			
Service and military work	159	11				866	14				3299	10			

<sup>a</sup> Column percentage.  
<sup>b</sup> Based on median split.

**Table 2.** Associations of psychosocial working conditions, and occupational groups, with disability pension (DP) due to mental diagnoses for the total cohort (N=42 715), and discordant twin pairs (N=511) during follow-up 1993-2008. [HR= hazard ratio; 95 % CI= 95% confidence interval]

Exposures	Cox proportional hazards regression models				Conditional Cox proportional hazards regression models		
	Whole cohort				Discordant twin pairs <sup>a</sup>		
	Base model <sup>b</sup>		Full model <sup>c</sup>		Twin pairs (N)	HR	95% CI
	HR	95% CI	HR	95% CI			
Psychosocial working conditions <sup>d</sup>							
Job demands	1.07	0.98–1.15	1.11	1.02–1.21	262	1.23	1.06–1.43
Job control	0.93	0.89–0.97	0.91	0.87–0.96	302	0.91	0.83–0.99
Social support	1.12	1.01–1.24	1.12	1.01–1.24	197	1.00	0.79–1.23
Types of jobs <sup>e</sup>					289		
Low strain (N=164)	1.00		1.00			1.00	
High strain (N=259)	0.96	0.75–1.22	0.89	0.70–1.14		0.65	0.41–1.03
Active (N=448)	0.97	0.81–1.16	0.90	0.74–1.08		0.78	0.56–1.08
Passive (N=549)	1.26	1.05–1.50	1.26	1.05–1.51		1.04	0.76–1.44
Iso-strain (N=134)	1.41	1.12–1.77	1.31	1.04–1.65		1.09	0.76–1.44
Occupational groups					306		
Technology, science, social science, and art (N= 250)	1.29	1.07–1.56	1.29	1.07–1.56		0.91	0.64–1.30
Healthcare and social work (N=353)	1.44	1.21–1.71	1.41	1.16–1.70		1.41	1.04–1.92
Administration and management (N=206)	1.00		1.00			1.00	
Commercial work (N=75)	0.90	0.69–1.17	0.87	0.66–1.14		0.55	0.32–0.95
Agriculture, forestry, and fishing (N=34)	0.97	0.68–1.40	0.90	0.60–1.35		1.09	0.46–2.56
Transport (N=71)	1.36	1.04–1.80	0.98	0.69–1.40		1.52	0.88–2.60
Production and mining (N= 272)	1.34	1.10–1.63	1.06	0.83–1.36		1.11	0.75–1.66
Service and military work (N= 159)	1.40	1.14–1.72	1.15	0.91–1.47		2.07	1.37–3.14

<sup>a</sup> Adjusted for age, sex, genetics and shared environment.  
<sup>b</sup> Adjusted for age (continuous) and sex.  
<sup>c</sup> Occupational groups are adjusted for age, sex, living with children, marital status, education, type of living area and working conditions (continuous). Working conditions and types of jobs are adjusted for age, sex, living with children, marital status, education, and type of living area.  
<sup>d</sup> One unit increase on a 10-degree scale means fewer demands, more control, and more support.  
<sup>e</sup> Based on median split.

line with two recent Belgian studies (15, 53), we found that, among all twins in the cohort, having a passive or iso-strain job predicted higher risks of DP due to mental diagnoses than a low-strain job. However, these associations disappeared after accounting for the influence of familial factors. This would be a sign that factors shared by the twins, for instance family socioeconomic status, are of importance for those associations. In contrast with a recent Finnish study (54), which also applied a

JEM to the data and found an association between high job strain and DP due to depression among women (HR 1.24, 95% CI 1.00–1.53), there was no such association in our study. This contrast may be due to differences in study designs and participants; that is, the Finnish study was based on municipal workers with shorter follow-up time (five years), only included one mental diagnosis (ie, depression), and did not take account of familial confounding.

In line with two other studies (28, 29), we found that working in healthcare and social work or service and military work were independent risk factors of being granted DP due to mental diagnoses, even after accounting for potential familial confounding, for instance shared social background. One possible explanation for these findings is that these occupational groups are numerically female dominated, and working in a female-dominated sector has been found to be a risk factor for sickness absence with a mental diagnosis (55, 56). Also, these two sector-based occupational groups included occupations (ie, nurses and cleaners) that are known to be associated with mentally and/or physically challenging working conditions including low control and uncomfortable working positions and/or heavy lifting (57–60). Commercial work became significantly associated with DP due to mental diagnoses after accounting for familial factors, suggesting that engaging in such work is an independent protective factor of such DP. However, in the associations between DP and the occupational groups technology, science, social science, and art and production and mining there may be some familial confounding, suggesting selection (eg, by social background) into these occupational groups. Finally, the association between the transport work and DP was mainly related to other background factors from adulthood, including education, marital status, and psychosocial working conditions rather than familial factors. In future studies, more precisely determined occupational groups and a larger cohort would further clarify these associations and any influential factors.

### Strengths and limitations

The main strengths of this study are the large sample (N=42 715), the long follow-up (mean 12 years), the use of twin data that made it possible to account for potential familial confounding, the prospective cohort design, and the use of high-quality, population-based registry data with no loss to follow-up. A further strength is access to information on diagnosis-specific DP, which is not common. Moreover, we accounted for the influence of several known risk factors for DP in the analyses (31–33, 51). The inclusion of information about children living at home, marital status, education, and type of living area in the models resulted in almost unaltered risk estimates for the association between psychosocial working conditions and DP due to mental diagnoses. This suggests that these associations are independent of these background factors. However, further adjusting the full model with occupational groups for psychosocial working conditions attenuated some of the associations found between occupational groups and DP. We also used a JEM to obtain more comprehensive information on job demands and control and social support. By applying occupation-rated mean scores of working

conditions, we were able to avoid reporting bias related with health (3). Furthermore, the matrix has been validated by correlating attributed scores with self-reported equivalents for the separate working conditions and by comparing attributed and self-reported scores on several health outcomes (back pain, sleep disturbance, and mortality). The agreements were found to be moderate for job control and somewhat lower for job demands and social support (20). This might partially explain the differing result of this study regarding job demands and social support. Nevertheless, since this is the first time this matrix is used in studying DP due to mental diagnoses, more studies are needed to confirm the findings of this study.

Partially related to the JEM, a limitation of the study is the coding of occupational groups. In the Nordic Standard Occupational Classification system, similar occupations are grouped together without consideration of individual differences, such as educational level, or employment status (whether employed or self-employed, or short-term or permanent position). Hence, some occupational groups may, at the same time, be exposed to both harmless and/or hazardous occupational exposures (28). Nevertheless, we believe that some of these differences were addressed by adjusting for years of education. It is well known that highly educated people work in occupations with, for instance, better working conditions, and salary levels compared to individuals with lower education (61). A second important limitation is that we had no baseline information regarding morbidity or health status. Thus, the results may be biased by unmeasured confounding from ill-health (12). However, two recent observational studies of associations between self-rated health, social class, and future DP (62, 63) found only weak contributions of ill-health and/or health behavior for these associations. A third limitation is that information on occupation, including working conditions, was only available at baseline: we were, therefore, unable to account for changes during follow-up. Fourth, despite the large sample size, we lacked power in the discordant twin pair analyses. Hence the interpretations of these analyses merit caution.

### Concluding remarks

In this study, it was found that one unit increase in the psychosocial working condition job demands and working in either healthcare and social work or service and military work appear to be risk factors of DP due to mental diagnoses, independent of age, sex, marital status, having children, educational level, type of living area, working conditions, or familial factors. One unit increase in the psychosocial working condition job control or being employed in commercial work, however, seem to be direct protective factors of such DP, even

after accounting for various background factors and familial confounding. Nevertheless, there also seems to be some complexity in the associations between psychosocial working conditions, occupational groups, and DP since not all were independent of the effect of familial confounding. Hence, it may be assumed that there are some prerequisites related to familial factors that may influence the associations of DP due to mental diagnoses with some of the psychosocial working conditions and occupational groups.

## Acknowledgments

This study received financial support from Karolinska Institutet: Centre for Healthcare Science and the National Healthcare Sciences Postgraduate School; the Swedish Society of Medicine; the Swedish Council for Working Life and Social Research [2009-1758 (through Stockholm Stress Center) and 2007-0830]; and the Swedish Research Council (521-2008-3054). The Swedish Twin Registry is supported by the Department of Higher Education, the Swedish Research Council and AstraZeneca. A Ropponen was supported by a research grant from the Academy of Finland (#122080).

## References

- Waddel G, Burton A. Is work good for your health and well-being? London: The Stationery Office; 2006.
- Mental health and work; impact, issues and good practice. Geneva. Department of Mental Health and Substance Dependence, World Health Organization; 2000.
- Stansfeld S, Candy B. Psychosocial work environment and mental health - a meta-analytic review. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2006 Dec;32(6):443-62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1050>.
- Stenbeck M, Persson G. Chapter 10: working life, work environment and health. *Scand J Public Health Suppl*. 2006 Jun;67:229-45. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14034950600677295>.
- Sickness, Disability and Work: Breaking the Barriers- A Synthesis of Findings across OECD Countries. Directorate for Employment, Labour and Social Affairs, Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development; 2010.
- Järvisalo J, Andersson B, Boedeker W, Houtman I. Mental disorders as a major challenge in prevention of work disability: experiences in Finland, Germany, the Netherlands and Sweden. The Social Insurance Institution, Helsinki; 2005.
- Hensing G, Wahlström R. Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care (SBU). Chapter 7. Sickness absence and psychiatric disorders. *Scand J Public Health* 2004;32(Suppl 63):152-80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14034950410021871>.
- Karasek RA. Job demands, job decision latitude and mental strain: Implications for job redesign. *Adm Sci Q*. 1979;24:285-308. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2392498>.
- Karasek RA, Theorell T. Healthy work: Stress, productivity and the reconstruction of working life. New York: Basic Books; 1990.
- Johnson JV, Hall EM. Job strain, work place social support, and cardiovascular disease: a cross-sectional study of a random sample of the Swedish working population. *Am J Public Health*. 1988 Oct;78(10):1336-42. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2105/AJPH.78.10.1336>.
- Melchior M, Berkman LF, Niedhammer I, Zins M, Goldberg M. The mental health effects of multiple work and family demands. A prospective study of psychiatric sickness absence in the French GAZEL study. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 2007 Jul;42(7):573-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00127-007-0203-2>.
- Virtanen M, Vahtera J, Pentti J, Honkonen T, Elovainio M, Kivimäki M. Job strain and psychologic distress influence on sickness absence among Finnish employees. *Am J Prev Med*. 2007 Sep;33(3):182-7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.amepre.2007.05.003>.
- DeSanto Lennaco J, Cullen MR, Cantley L, Slade MD, Fiellin M, Kasl SV. Effects of externally rated job demand and control on depression diagnosis claims in an industrial cohort. *Am J Epidemiol*. 2009 Feb 1;171(3):303-11. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwp359>.
- Vahtera J, Laine S, Virtanen M, Oksanen T, Koskinen A, Pentti J, et al. Employee control over working times and risk of cause-specific disability pension: the Finnish Public Sector Study. *Occup Environ Med*. 2010 Jul;67(7):479-85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2008.045096>.
- Clumeck N, Kempnaers C, Godin I, Dramaix M, Kornitzer M, Linkowski P, et al. Working conditions predict incidence of long-term spells of sick leave due to depression: results from the Belstress I prospective study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2009 Apr;63(4):286-92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2008.079384>.
- Inoue A, Kawakami N, Haratani T, Kobayashi F, Ishizaki M, Hayashi T, et al. Job stressors and long-term sick leave due to depressive disorders among Japanese male employees: findings from the Japan Work Stress and Health Cohort study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2009 Mar;64(3):229-35. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2008.085548>.
- Ahola K, Virtanen M, Honkonen T, Isometsa E, Aromaa A, Lönnqvist J. Common mental disorders and subsequent work disability: a population-based Health 2000 Study. *J Affect Disord*. 2011 Nov;134(1-3):365-72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2011.05.028>.
- Lahelma E, Laaksonen M, Lallukka T, Martikainen P, Pietiläinen O, Saastamoinen P, et al. Working conditions as risk factors for disability retirement: a longitudinal register linkage study. *BMC Public Health*. 2012 Apr 26;12(1):309. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-12-309>.
- Canivet C, Choi B, Karasek R, Moghaddassi M, Staland-Nyman

- C, Östergren PO. Can high psychological job demands, low decision latitude, and high job strain predict disability pensions? A 12-year follow-up of middle-aged Swedish workers. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2012 Apr 5. [Epub ahead of print] <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00420-012-0766-4>.
20. Fredlund P, Hallqvist J, Diderichsen F. Psychosocial job exposure matrix. An update of a classification system for work-related psychosocial exposures. Stockholm. Swedish National Institute for Working Life; 2000.
  21. Jansson C, Jeding K, Lagergren J. Job strain and risk of esophageal and cardia cancers. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2009 Dec;33(6):473–5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.canep.2009.10.008>.
  22. Johnson JV, Stewart WF. Measuring work organization exposure over the life course with a job-exposure matrix. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1993;19:21–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1508>.
  23. Alexanderson K, Norlund A. Sickness absence – causes, consequences, and physicians' sickness certification practice. A systematic literature review by the Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care. *Scand J Public Health*. 2004;32(Suppl 63):1–263.
  24. Arndt V, Rothenbacher D, Daniel U, Zschenderlein B, Schubert S, Brenner H. Construction work and risk of occupational disability: a ten year follow up of 14,474 male workers. *Occup Environ Med*. 2005 Aug;62(8):559–66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2004.018135>.
  25. Stattin M, Järholm, B. Occupation, work environment, and disability pension: a prospective study of construction workers. *Scand J Public Health*. 2005;33(2):84–90. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14034940410019208>.
  26. Dyreborg J, Hannerz H, Tüchsen F, Spangenberg S. Disability retirement among workers involved in large construction projects. *Am J Ind Med*. 2010 Jun;53(6):596–600.
  27. Vinni K. Productivity losses due to illness, disability and premature death in different occupational groups in Finland. *Soc Sci Med*. 1983;17(3):163–7. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536\(83\)90249-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0277-9536(83)90249-6).
  28. Hannerz H, Tüchsen F, Spangenberg S, Albertsen K. Industrial differences in disability retirement rates in Denmark, 1996–2000. *Int J Occup Med Environ Health*. 2004;17(4):465–71.
  29. Lund T, Labriola M, Villadsen E. Who is at risk for long-term sickness absence? A prospective cohort study of Danish employees. *Work*. 2007;28(3):225–30.
  30. Rothman K. *Epidemiology an introduction*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2002.
  31. Allebeck P, Mastekaasa A. Swedish Council on Technology Assessment in Health Care (SBU). Chapter 5. Risk factors for sick leave - general studies. *Scand J Public Health*. 2004;32(Suppl 63):49–108. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/14034950410021853>.
  32. Samuelsson Å, Ropponen A, Alexanderson K, Lichtenstein P, Svedberg P. Disability pension among Swedish twins-prevalence over 16 years and associations with sociodemographic factors in 1992. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2011 Jan;54(1):10–6. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e31823d86d5>.
  33. Samuelsson Å, Alexanderson K, Ropponen A, Lichtenstein P, Svedberg P. Incidence of disability pension and associations with socio-demographic factors in a Swedish twin cohort. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*; 2012. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00127-012-0498-5>.
  34. Hettema J, Neale MC, Kendler KS. A review and meta-analysis of the genetic epidemiology of anxiety disorders. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2001 Oct;158(10):1568–78. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.158.10.1568>.
  35. Sullivan P, Neale MC, Kendler KS. Genetic epidemiology of major depression: review and meta-analysis. *Am J Psychiatry*. 2000 Oct;157(10):1552–62. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.10.1552>.
  36. Sullivan P, Kendler KS, Neale MC. Schizophrenia as a complex trait: evidence from a meta-analysis of twin studies. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*. 2003 Dec;60(12):1187–92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.60.12.1187>.
  37. Narusyte J, Ropponen A, Silventoinen K, Alexanderson A, Kaprio J, Samuelsson Å, et al. Genetic Liability to Disability Pension in Women and Men: A Prospective Population-Based Twin Study. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(8):e23143. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0023143>.
  38. Harkonmäki K, Silventoinen K, Levälähti E, Pitkaniemi J, Huunan-Seppälä A, Klaukka T, et al. The genetic liability to disability retirement: a 30-year follow-up study of 24,000 Finnish twins. *PLoS ONE*. 2008;3(10):e3402. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0003402>.
  39. Kujala UJK, Koskenvuo M. Modifiable Risk Factors as Predictors of All-Cause Mortality: The Roles of Genetics and Childhood Environment. *Am J Epidemiol* 2002;156:985–93. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/aje/kwf151>.
  40. Koivumaa-Honkanen H, Koskenvuo M, Honkanen RJ, Viinamaki H, Heikkila K, Kaprio J. Life dissatisfaction and subsequent work disability in an 11-year follow-up. *Psychol Med*. 2004 Feb;34(2):221–8. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0033291703001089>.
  41. Pietikainen S, Silventoinen K, Svedberg P, Alexanderson K, Huunan-Seppälä A, Koskenvuo K, et al. Health-related and sociodemographic risk factors for disability pension due to low back disorders: a 30-year prospective Finnish Twin Cohort Study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2011 May;53(5):488–96. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e31821576dd>.
  42. Ropponen A, Narusyte J, Alexanderson K, Svedberg P. Stability and change in health behaviours as predictors for disability pension: a prospective cohort study of Swedish twins. *BMC Public Health*. 2011 Aug 31;11(1):678. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-11-678>.
  43. Ropponen A, Silventoinen K, Svedberg P, Alexanderson K, Koskenvuo K, Huunan-Seppälä A, et al. Health-related risk factors for disability pensions due to musculoskeletal diagnoses: A 30-year Finnish twin cohort study. *Scand J Public Health*. 2011 Sep 5;39(8):839–48. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1403494811418283>.
  44. Svedberg P, Ropponen A, Lichtenstein P, Alexanderson K.

- Are self-report of disability pension and long-term sickness absence accurate? Comparisons of self-reported interview data with national register data in a Swedish twin cohort. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;10:763. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-763>.
45. Lichtenstein P, Faire de U, Floderus B, Svartengren M, Svedberg P, Pedersen NL. The Swedish Twin Registry: a unique resource for clinical epidemiological and genetic studies. *J Intern Med*. 2002;252:184–205. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2796.2002.01032.x>.
  46. WHO. International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems - 10th Revision. World Health Organization. Available from: <http://apps.who.int/classifications/apps/icd/icd10online/>.
  47. Laine S, Gimeno D, Virtanen M, Oksanen T, Vahtera J, Elovainio M, et al. Job strain as a predictor of disability pension: the Finnish Public Sector Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2009 Jan;63(1):24–30. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2007.071407>.
  48. Landsbergis PA, Schnall PL, Warren K, Pickering TG, Schwartz JE. Association between ambulatory blood pressure and alternative formulations of job strain. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 1994 Oct;20(5):349–63. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1386>.
  49. Karta över H-regionernas omfattning (Area range of H-regions): Statistics Sweden; 2003.
  50. McGue M, Osler M, Christensen K. Causal Inference and Observational Research: The Utility of Twins. *Perspect Psychol Sci*. 2010 Oct 11;5(5):546–56. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1745691610383511>.
  51. Hensing G, Alexanderson K. The association between sex segregation, working conditions, and sickness absence among employed women. *Occup Environ Med*. 2004 Feb;61(2):e7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2002.005504>.
  52. Sinokki M, Hinkka K, Ahola K, Gould R, Puukka P, Lönnqvist J, et al. Social support as a predictor of disability pension: the Finnish Health 2000 study. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2010 Jul;52(7):733–9. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e3181e79525>.
  53. Moreau M, Valente F, Mak R, Pelfrene E, de Smet P, de Backer G, et al. Occupational stress and incidence of sick leave in the Belgian work force: the Belstress study. *J Epidemiol Community Health*. 2004;58:507–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2003.007518>.
  54. Mäntyniemi A, Oksanen T, Salo P, Virtanen M, Sjösten N, Pentti J, et al. Job strain and the risk of disability pension due to musculoskeletal disorders, depression or coronary heart disease: a prospective cohort study of 69 842 employees. *Occup Environ Med*. 2012 Aug;69(8):574–81. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2011-100411>.
  55. Hensing G, Alexanderson K, Åkerlind I, Bjurulf P. Sick-leave due to minor psychiatric morbidity: role of sex integration. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol*. 1995 Jan;30(1):39–43. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00784433>.
  56. Mastekaasa A. Sickness absence in female- and male-dominated occupations and workplaces. *Soc Sci Med*. 2005 May;60(10):2261–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2004.10.003>.
  57. Lund T, Labriola M, Christensen KB, Bültmann U, Villadsen E. Physical work environment risk factors for long term sickness absence: prospective findings among a cohort of 5357 employees in Denmark. *BMJ*. 2006 Feb 25;332(7539):449–52. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38731.622975.3A>.
  58. Lund T, Labriola M, Christensen KB, Bültmann U, Villadsen E, Burr H. Psychosocial work environment exposures as risk factors for long-term sickness absence among Danish employees: results from DWECs/DREAM. *J Occup Environ Med*. 2005 Nov;47(11):1141–7. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/O1.jom.0000174301.80318.f2>.
  59. Fjell Y, Alexanderson K, Karlqvist L, Bildt C. Self-reported musculoskeletal pain and working conditions among employees in the Swedish public sector. *Work*. 2007;28(1):33–46.
  60. Fjell Y, Alexanderson K, Nordenmark M, Bildt C. Perceived physical strain in paid and unpaid work and the work-home interface: the associations with musculoskeletal pain and fatigue among public employees. *Women Health*. 2008;47(1):33–46. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J013v47n01\\_02](http://dx.doi.org/10.1300/J013v47n01_02).
  61. Lynch J, Kaplan G. Socioeconomic position. *Social Epidemiology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2000. p.13–35.
  62. Leinonen T, Pietilainen O, Laaksonen M, Rahkonen O, Lahelma E, Martikainen P. Occupational social class and disability retirement among municipal employees - the contribution of health behaviors and working conditions. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2011 Nov;37(6):464–72. <http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3182>.
  63. Pietilainen O, Laaksonen M, Rahkonen O, Lahelma E. Self-rated health as a predictor of disability retirement-the contribution of ill-health and working conditions. *PLoS ONE*. 2011;6(9):e25004. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0025004>.

Received for publication: 27 June 2012