

Original article

Scand J Work Environ Health 2013;39(6):568-577

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3374

Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical exposures: a longitudinal study

by Svendsen SW, Dalbøge A, Andersen JH, Thomsen JF, Frost P

The impact of occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures on risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome (SIS) is unknown. Shoulder load increased the risk of surgery for SIS. Neck-shoulder complaints combined with high shoulder load conveyed the highest risk.

Affiliation: Danish Ramazzini Centre, University Department of Occupational Medicine, Herning Regional Hospital, Gl. Landevej 61, DK-7400 Herning, Denmark. susasven@rm.dk

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: 2004;30(5):0 2007;33(3):161-240 2009;35(1):1-80 2010;36(3):185-268 2011;37(6):451-555 2012;38(5):391-484

The following article refers to this text: 2016;42(6):455-562

Key terms: acromioplasty; force; job exposure matrix; job strain; longitudinal study; neck-shoulder complaint; occupation biomechanical exposure; posture; psychosocial factor; repetitive work; shoulder; subacromial decompression; subacromial impingement syndrome; surgery; work

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23811718



Scand J Work Environ Health. 2013;39(6):568-577. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3374

Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical exposures: a longitudinal study

by Susanne Wulff Svendsen, PhD,¹ Annett Dalbøge, MSc,² Johan Hviid Andersen, PhD,¹ Jane Frølund Thomsen. PhD,³ Poul Frost. PhD ²

Svendsen SW, Dalbøge A, Andersen JH, Thomsen JF, Frost P. Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical exposures: a longitudinal study. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2013;39(6):568–577. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3374

Objectives The aim of this longitudinal study was to evaluate the risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome (SIS) in relation to neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures.

Methods The study was based on the Musculoskeletal Research Database at the Danish Ramazzini Centre. We linked baseline questionnaire information from 1993–2004 on neck-shoulder complaints, job titles, psychosocial work factors, body mass index, and smoking with register information on first-time surgery for SIS from 1996–2008. Biomechanical exposure measures were obtained from a job exposure matrix based on expert judgment. We applied multivariable Cox regression.

Results During 280 125 person-years of follow-up among 37 402 persons, 557 first-time operations for SIS occurred. Crude surgery rates increased from 1.1 to 2.5 per 1000 person-years with increasing shoulder load. Using no neck-shoulder complaints and low shoulder load at baseline as a reference, no neck-shoulder complaints and high shoulder load showed an adjusted hazard ratio (HR_{adj}) of 2.55 [95% confidence interval (95% CI) 1.59–4.09], while neck-shoulder complaints in combination with high shoulder load showed an HR_{adj} of 4.52 (95% CI 2.87–7.13). Subanalyses based on 18 856 persons showed an HR_{adj} of 5.40 (95% CI 2.88–10.11) for complaints located specifically in the shoulder in combination with high shoulder load.

Conclusions Based on these findings, persons with neck-shoulder and especially shoulder complaints in combination with high shoulder load seem an obvious target group for interventions aimed at reducing exposures to prevent surgery for SIS.

Key terms acromioplasty; force; job exposure matrix; job strain; posture; psychosocial factor; repetitive work; shoulder; subacromial decompression; work.

Shoulder complaints with clinical findings presumed to originate from subacromial structures have been designated as subacromial impingement syndrome (SIS) (1). Increasing rates of surgery for SIS have been reported (2, 3). In Denmark, a fourfold increase in annual rates of surgery for SIS occurred during 1996–2008, reaching a level of 1.5 per 1000 persons aged 18–63 years; within two years after surgery, the risk of permanent work disability was 9.8%, with low education level as a negative prognostic factor (4). Longitudinal evidence has been established

for an association between occupational biomechanical exposures and shoulder complaints (5–7). There is also evidence for an association with clinically diagnosed SIS (8, 9), but few longitudinal studies have contributed (10–13). Evidence for an association with rotator cuff tears has been found in a case–control study (14) and in a case series where the distribution of occupations was compared with that of the general population (15). It is unknown, whether occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures are associated with an increased risk of surgery for SIS.

Correspondence to: Susanne Wulff Svendsen, Danish Ramazzini Centre, University Department of Occupational Medicine, Herning Regional Hospital, Gl. Landevej 61, DK-7400 Herning, Denmark. [E-mail: susasven@rm.dk]

Danish Ramazzini Centre, University Department of Occupational Medicine, Herning Regional Hospital, Herning, Denmark.

² Danish Ramazzini Centre, Department of Occupational Medicine, Aarhus University Hospital, Aarhus, Denmark.

³ Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Bispebjerg Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark.

Intuitively, neck-shoulder complaints are a likely predictor of SIS, but – except for one study (16) – we are not aware of studies that have confirmed this relationship. It also remains to be shown if persons are at a particular risk of surgery for SIS if they have neck-shoulder complaints in combination with high occupational biomechanical exposures. If this is the case, persons who develop neck-shoulder complaints while having a job that entails high exposures constitute a group where reduction of exposures would be especially warranted.

We have recently established the Musculoskeletal Research Database (MRD) at the Danish Ramazzini Centre as a basis for longitudinal studies of sufficient size to evaluate risk factors for disorders that are severe enough to be treated at hospital and thus become accessible for register linkage. The intention was to bridge the gap between purely register-based studies, which lack information on previous complaints and other potential risk factors, and resource-demanding prospective studies with future results. At present, the MRD comprises questionnaire data from nine previous studies of musculoskeletal symptoms in the Danish working population or selected occupational groups. This is the first study to take advantage of the MRD and a new job exposure matrix (JEM) for the shoulder.

The aim of this longitudinal study was to evaluate the risk of surgery for SIS in relation to neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures. We hypothesized that neck-shoulder complaints and occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures would each increase the risk.

Methods

Study cohort

Table 1 presents the original studies I-IX (17–28) and their contribution to the MRD, which comprises 39 590 persons. A total of 265 persons participated in two studies, and one person participated in three; for these persons, we selected the questionnaire dataset that was most informative for the present study. We excluded persons who were <18 or ≥ 70 years old at start of follow-up (N=2 and N=109, respectively), underwent any kind of shoulder surgery between 1 January 1996 and start of follow-up according to the Danish National Patient Register (29) (N=115), died or emigrated before start of follow-up according to the Danish Civil Registration System (N=31), or were missing baseline information on occupational title (N=263) or neck-shoulder complaints (N=1668). Studies I and IV in table 1 comprised historical cohorts; we retrieved information on permanent transfer incomes at baseline from the Danish National Register on Public Transfer Payments to allow subanalyses, excluding persons who had left the labor market. The Danish Data Protection Agency authorized the MRD and the present study. In Denmark, register and questionnaire studies do not require approval by committees on biomedical research ethics.

Outcome

During follow-up, we identified first-time operations for SIS in the Danish National Patient Register. The register contains data on all admissions to public somatic hospitals since 1977 and outpatient contacts since 1995. Visits to private hospitals have been registered since 2001, but reporting from private hospitals was not compulsory until 15 September 2007; before 2000, shoulder surgery in private hospitals was rare in Denmark (4). The outcome included surgery performed under a main diagnosis in the International Classification of Diseases, 10th revision, groups M75.1- M75.9 (rotator cuff syndrome, bicipital tendinitis, calcific tendinitis, impingement syndrome, bursitis, and other and unspecified shoulder disorders) or M19 (other arthrosis) without a subordinate diagnosis of M75.0 (adhesive capsulitis of shoulder) and registered with one or more Danish Nordic Medico-Statistical Committee (NOMESCO) shoulder and upper-arm surgery codes in the groups KNBA (exploratory procedures), KNBE and KNBF (procedures on synovia and ligaments), KNBG, KNBH, and KNBK (acromioplasty), and KNBL and KNBM (procedures on bursae and tendons).

Neck-shoulder complaints

The original studies VIII and IX in table 1 asked: "How much have you been bothered by pain or complaints in your neck or shoulders within the past 12 months?" (not at all, very little, a little, somewhat, quite a lot, much, very much). Study V asked the same question for neck and shoulders separately. The remaining studies used Nordicstyle questions: "What is the total length of time that you have had neck (/shoulder) pain or complaints during the last 12 months?" (five or six response categories), only study I asked about pain or complaints during the last 12 months (no/yes). We classified patients as having neckshoulder complaints if they had been at least somewhat bothered by neck-shoulder symptoms, reported neck and/or shoulder symptoms for ≥8 days within the last 12 months, or answered yes to at least one no/yes question. In subanalyses, we used specific information on shoulder complaints from the seven studies that allowed this.

Occupational biomechanical shoulder exposures

We constructed a shoulder JEM and allocated exposure estimates to each participant by combining self-reported

Table 1. Description of nine original studies (reference) that have contributed to the Musculoskeletal Research Database (MRD) at the Danish Ramazzini Centre.

Stu	dy	Baseline year	Original proportion who responded (%)	respondents	Participants in MRD ^a	
					N	% women
Ī	The Nurses' Aides Study (17)	1993	74	4616	4612	98
Ш	Project on Deboning in Pig Slaughterhouses (18)	1993	82	2463	2119	7
Ш	Project on Research and Intervention in Monotonous work, the PRIM health study (19, 20)	1994	75	3123	2964	58
IV	Project on Shoulder Disorders and Postural Load Factors (21)	2000	≥70	2053	2053	0
V	Neck and Upper extremity Disorders Among Technical Assistants, the NUDATA study (22, 23)	2000	73	6943	6942	63
VI	Project on Work at Institutions for Adults with Disabilities (24)	2001	72	799	362	82
VII	Project on Cleaners and Shop Assistants (25)	2001	89	2704	2558	100
VIII	The FAUST study of employees at 39 work sites (26, 27)	2002	71	4006	4005	62
IX	The ASUSI study of a working population sample (28)	2004	70	14 241	14 241	49

^a The MRD comprises 39 590 unique persons, of whom 265 participated in two of the original studies and one participated in three. Civil registration system numbers were not always available, which explains why not all the original participants were included in the database.

baseline information on occupational title with exposures from the JEM. To construct the JEM, we excluded 185 obsolete or very rare occupational titles from the 2227 occupational titles in the Danish version of the International Standard Classification of Occupations (D-ISCO 88) and divided the remainder into 172 groups of jobs that were expected to have similar exposure profiles regarding computer use and the exposures mentioned below. We then selected 11 job groups that covered a wide range of exposures. Five experienced occupational health physicians consensus-rated these 11 groups so that they could serve as benchmarks, which allowed the experts to calibrate their estimates to a common scale (30). For each of the job groups that were left, the experts independently rated (i) the mean force exerted with the hand and arm across a full working day relative to the maximal strength of a "standard person" (a healthy 35-year-old male), using a 5-point force-score scale (0=light, 1=somewhat hard, 2=hard, 3=very hard, 4=near maximal) (31). The experts also rated the mean number of hours per working day (in half-hour intervals) with (ii) upper-arm elevation >90°, and (iii) moderately and highly repetitive work ($\geq 4-<15$ and ≥ 15 movements of the upper arm per minute, respectively).

The benchmarks and the mean values of the experts' ratings were included in the JEM. We categorized the force-score as <1.5, \ge 1.5–<2.5, or \ge 2.5, the duration of upper-arm elevation >90° as 0, >0–<1, or \ge 1 hours/day, and repetitive work as moderately repetitive work <2, \ge 2–<4, \ge 4 hours/day, or highly repetitive work. The last-mentioned category was chosen in case of highly repetitive work \ge 0.5 hours/day, but among persons who fulfilled this criterion, 94% had a duration which was \ge 6 hours/day and the duration was \ge 3 hours/day for the remaining persons. We also calculated a joint exposure measure. Persons were classified as having high shoulder load if they fulfilled at least one of the following

criteria: a force-score ≥ 3 , upper-arm elevation $> 90^{\circ} \geq 1$ hours/day, highly repetitive work ≥0.5 hours/day, and moderately repetitive work ≥4 hours/day. Persons were classified as having medium shoulder load if they performed highly repetitive work <0.5 hours/day and fulfilled at least one of the following criteria: a force-score >1.5-<3, upper-arm elevation $^{\circ} \ge 0.5-<1$ hours/day, and moderately repetitive work ≥2-<4 hours/day. Those, who fulfilled all of the following criteria, were classified as having low shoulder load: a force-score ≤1.5, upperarm elevation >90° <0.5 hours/day, highly repetitive work <0.5 hours/day, and moderately repetitive work <2 hours/day. Furthermore, we subdivided the group with high shoulder load into three categories depending on the number of high exposures (force-score, upper-arm elevation, repetitive work).

Psychosocial work and other potential risk factors

All questionnaires asked about psychosocial work factors based on the Karasek-Theorell three-factor model. Studies V, VIII, and IX (table 1) asked global single-item questions on job demands, job control, and social support at work, eg: "How demanding do you feel your job is all in all?" (six response categories). The remaining studies used multi-item scales (four or five response categories). With regard to job demands and job control, global single items and corresponding multi-item scales have been found to measure the same constructs (32). To combine single- and multi-item scales, we recoded the multi-item scales to global scales ranging from 1 (good) to 6 (bad). We then dichotomized the resulting variables at the median. Smoking status (never smoker, ex-smoker, current smoker) was available from all the studies with the exception of studies II and VII, and height and weight were available from all the studies with the exception of study II. We calculated body mass index (BMI) as weight / height square (kg/m²). Furthermore, we used information on sex, age, and original study.

Education level was assessed based on unemployment insurance fund membership at baseline according to the Danish National Register on Public Transfer Payments. In the MRD, this information was missing for 748 persons, for whom we assessed education level based on D-ISCO 88 codes, and for 316 persons who received cash benefit (a part of the lowest level of the social safety net in Denmark). We categorized education level as higher/medium, vocational, and low (4); persons who received cash benefit were included in the low category. A priori, we decided not to include education level in the main analyses to avoid over-adjustment due to correlations with occupational biomechanical exposures.

Statistical analysis

We used multivariable Cox regression analyses stratified by original study and calculated hazard ratios (HR) with 95% confidence intervals (95% CI). Follow-up time was calculated from 1 January 1996 or from the date when the questionnaire was completed, whichever came last, until the date of first-time surgery for SIS or censoring due to other types of shoulder surgery, emigration, age 70 (to allow five years of follow-up after the usual Danish retirement age of 65 years), death, or end of follow-up by 31 December 2008, whichever came first. We ensured that the proportional hazards assumption was met by means of a global test, which also comprised a variable-by-variable test (33, 34). Additionally, we checked if comparable results were found in three separate time periods (1996– 2001, 2002-2006, and 2007-2008). Likelihood-ratio tests were used to examine interactions between neckshoulder complaints and shoulder load and between job demands and job control (job strain) (35). We addressed the problem of missing information on BMI and smoking status in specific studies using the likelihood-ratio test to compare the model including these variables and the corresponding model excluding them in analyses, which left out study II and – with respect to smoking status – also study VII. To check that our results were consistent across original studies and questionnaire styles (Nordic versus other), we performed supplementary, unstratified analyses, which included original study and questionnaire style, respectively.

To estimate the potential for prevention, we calculated the excess fraction of cases among the exposed by multiplying the aetiologic fraction, (HR_{adj}-1)/HR_{adj} for medium and high shoulder load, respectively, by the number of operations within each of these exposure categories, then summing up the excess numbers, and finally dividing the sum by the total number of

operations among the exposed and converting to percent. Analyses were carried out with STATA 12 software (StataCorp LP, College Station, TX, USA).

Results

The study cohort comprised 37 402 persons, 15 845 men and 21 557 women, who represented 1131 occupational titles, 929 among men and 696 among women. The most frequent occupational titles with high shoulder load were slaughterhouse workers, car mechanics, house painters, poultry workers, and carpenters among men, and cleaners, poultry workers, slaughterhouse workers, sewing-machine operators, and postal workers among women, accounting for 71% and 86% of jobs with high shoulder load among men and women, respectively. Of 9559 persons with high shoulder load, 23% had one high exposure, 53% had two, and 24% had three (slaughterhouse workers and wood industry workers). The most frequent jobs with medium shoulder load were machinists, technical assistants, and farmers/agricultural workers among men and nurses' aides, nurses, and childcare workers among women.

Table 2 lists baseline characteristics of the study cohort according to shoulder load. Job control, social support at work, and education level showed skewed distributions; the correlation coefficient between shoulder load and education level was 0.76. The percentages that received permanent transfer incomes at baseline were 2.1%, 3.4%, and 6.1% for participants with low, medium, and high shoulder load, respectively. The mean age [standard deviation (SD)] in these exposure categories was 43.1 (SD 10.1), 43.9 (SD 9.9), and 43.6 (SD 11.5), respectively. The one-year prevalence of neck-shoulder complaints was 45%: 35% among men and 53% among women. Across categories of increasing shoulder load, the prevalence of neck-shoulder complaints among men increased from 27% to 33% to 43%. The corresponding percentages for women were 47%, 57%, and 57%. Specific information on shoulder complaints was available for 20 094 persons, and 40% reported such complaints within the past 12 months, 29% among men and 45% among women; neck complaints were present for 24% of those who did not report shoulder complaints.

During 280 125 person-years of follow-up, 557 first-time operations for SIS occurred, corresponding to an incidence rate of 2.0 per 1000 person-years, 2.1 among men and 1.9 among women. The mean follow-up time was 7.5 years. In the time periods 1996–2001, 2002–2006, and 2007–2008, the mean age of cases was 48, 50, and 50 years, respectively. Among persons censored due to age, only one person was operated later, at age 73.

Table 2. Baseline characteristics of the study cohort (N=37 402) according to shoulder load, a joint measure of occupational mechanical exposures.

	Shoulder load						
		Low N=15 758		um 085	High N=9559		
	N	%	N	%	N	%	
Sex							
Women	9847	62	8320	69	3390	35	
Men	5911	38	3765	31	6169	65	
Smoking status							
Never smoker	6963	44	4159	34	1568	16	
Ex-smoker	3964	25	2891	24	1299	14	
Current smoker	4203	27	4614	38	2573	27	
Missing	628	4	431	4	4119	43	
Body mass index							
<25 kg/m ²	9283	59	7296	60	3707	39	
≥25—<30 ka/m²	4745	30	3468	29	2817	29	
≥30 kg/m ²	1378	9	1033	9	887	9	
Missing	352	2	288	2	2148	23	
Job demands							
Low	10 245	65	7223	60	5583	58	
High	5389	34	4061	34	3886	41	
Missing	124	1	801	7	90	1	
Job control							
High	10 069	64	5246	43	2855	30	
Low	5525	35	6010	50	6575	69	
Missing	164	1	829	7	129	1	
Social support at work							
From leaders and	7558	48	5574	46	2150	22	
colleagues	1000		007 1	10	2100		
From leaders, only	1155	7	616	5	1514	16	
From colleagues, only	2830	18	2629	22	1229	13	
No social support	3919	25	2313	19	4391	46	
Missing	296	2	953	8	275	3	
Education level							
Higher/medium	14 102	90	3200	26	360	4	
Vocational	1185	8	7255	60	2587	27	
Low	471	3	1630	13	6612	69	

Overall, 13% (N=73) of the operations were performed in private hospitals, ranging from 11% in the groups with medium and high shoulder load to 22% in the group with low shoulder load. From 1996-2001, no private hospital operations occurred; from 2002–2006, 11% of the operations were performed in private hospitals, and from 2007–2008, the percentage was 27%. Table 3 presents the risk of surgery for SIS in relation to specific occupational biomechanical exposures and shoulder load. There was a significantly increasing trend with increasing exposures. Crude surgery rates increased from 1.1 to 2.5 per 1000 person-years with increasing shoulder load, and a trend analysis for an increment of one category of shoulder load showed an HR_{adi} of 1.42 (95% CI 1.17-1.71). When we restricted the analyses to participants in the labor market at baseline, results did not change. Based on the observed number of cases among participants with medium and high shoulder load, respectively, and the corresponding HR_{adj} in table 3, the excess fraction of cases among the exposed was 43%, distributed with 26%, 39%, and 46% in the time periods 1996–2001, 2002–2006, and 2007–2008, respectively (period-specific HR_{adi} not shown).

Table 4 presents risk of surgery for ŠIS in relation to combinations of neck-shoulder complaints and forceful work, work with elevated arms, and repetitive work. Each of these exposures increased the risk of surgery, both among participants without neck-shoulder complaints and among participants with such complaints. Neck-shoulder complaints combined with high exposures conveyed the highest risks.

Table 5 displays risk of surgery for SIS in relation to combinations of neck-shoulder complaints and shoulder load. BMI and smoking status did not contribute significantly to the adjusted model (P=0.38). The HR_{adi} was 4.52 for neck-shoulder complaints combined with high shoulder load. The correlation coefficient between education level and the variable that combined neckshoulder complaints and shoulder load was 0.42. Inclusion of education level in the adjusted model reduced the HR but did not change the overall pattern of associations - eg, for neck-shoulder complaints combined with high shoulder load, inclusion of education level reduced the HR_{adi} to 3.10 (95% CI 1.86-5.17). Separate results for men and women were similar to those for the total cohort (results not shown). No interaction was seen between job demands and job control (P=0.22). Supplementary, unstratified analyses, which included the original study and questionnaire style, respectively, did not reveal any significant effects of these factors (results not shown). Subanalyses based on 18 856 persons showed an HR_{adj} of 5.40 (95% CI 2.88-10.11) for complaints located specifically in the shoulder in combination with high shoulder load (the remainder of the 20 094 persons with specific information on shoulder complaints missed other relevant information). For the same subpopulation, the maximum HR_{adi} for neck-shoulder complaints in combination with high shoulder load was 4.90 (95% CI 2.54–9.42).

Discussion

This longitudinal study of 37 402 persons showed that a force-score of ≥ 2.5 points, upper-arm elevation $>90^{\circ}$ for ≥ 1 hours/day, and highly repetitive work for ≥ 3 hours/day entailed an approximately doubled risk of surgery for SIS. With increasing shoulder load, crude surgery rates increased from 1.1 to 2.5 per 1000 person-years. The excess fraction of cases among the exposed was 43%. When compared to participants with no neck-shoulder complaints and low shoulder load, neck-shoulder complaints in combination with high shoulder load carried a four-fold risk, and the risk was

Table 3. Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to specific occupational biomechanical exposures and shoulder load. Results of Cox regression analyses including one exposure variable at a time and stratified by original study. [95% CI=95% confidence interval; HR_{adl}=adjusted hazard ratio]

Exposure	Cases	Person-years at risk	HR _{crude} ^a	HR _{adj} b	95% CI
Forceful work (force-score)					
<1.5 points	136	111 554	1	1	
≥1.5-<2.5 points	282	113 441	1.52	1.52	1.11-2.07
≥2.5 points	139	55 131	2.22	1.74	1.16-2.64
Arm elevation >90°					
0 hours/day	274	154 856	1	1	
>0-<1 hour/day	127	64 689	1.60	1.53	1.14-2.05
≥1 hour/day	156	60 581	1.98	1.61	1.06-2.45
Repetitive work					
Moderately repetitive work <2 hours/day	353	193 648	1	1	
Moderately repetitive work ≥2-<4 hours/day	35	16 119	1.20	1.20	0.78-1.83
Moderately repetitive work ≥4 hours/day	75	33 382	1.41	1.34	0.88-2.05
Highly repetitive work	94	36 976	1.87	1.76	1.05-2.96
Shoulder load					
Low	115	100 792	1	1	
Medium	236	96 682	1.63	1.64	1.19-2.26
High	206	82 651	2.18	1.96	1.33-2.89

^a Adjusted for age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 37 402.

Table 4. Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to combinations of neck-shoulder complaints and specific occupational biomechanical exposures. Results of Cox regression analyses including one exposure variable at a time and stratified by original study. [95% CI=95% confidence interval; HR_{adi}=adjusted hazard ratio]

Complaints and exposure	Cases	Person-years at risk	HR _{crude} ^a	HR _{adj} b	95% CI
No complaints – force-score <1.5 points	46	64 266	1	1	
No complaints – force-score ≥1.5–<2.5 points	60	50 628	1.47	1.45	0.93 - 2.28
No complaints – force-score ≥2.5 points	57	28 840	3.13	2.01	1.15-3.53
Complaints – force-score <1.5 points	90	47 287	2.69	2.66	1.82-3.89
Complaints – force-score ≥1.5–<2.5 points	222	62 813	4.26	4.23	2.79-6.42
Complaints – force-score \geq 2.5 points	82	26 291	4.79	4.05	2.42-6.77
No complaints – arm elevation >90° 0 hours/day	63	75 563	1	1	
No complaints – arm elevation >90° >0-<1 hours/day	39	38 138	1.43	1.41	0.90-2.20
No complaints – arm elevation >90° ≥1 hours/day	61	31 034	2.66	2.15	1.23-3.74
Complaints – arm elevation >90° 0 hours/day	211	80 293	2.61	2.74	2.00-3.79
Complaints – arm elevation >90° >0-<1 hours/day	88	26 551	4.68	4.43	3.01-6.52
Complaints – arm elevation $>90^{\circ} \ge 1$ hour/day	95	29 547	4.25	3.38	1.99-5.74
No complaints – moderately repetitive work <2 hours/day	92	100 554	1	1	
No complaints – moderately repetitive work ≥2 hours/day	29	24 878	1.21	1.31	0.79-2.17
No complaints – highly repetitive work	42	18 303	3.14	3.03	1.53-6.03
Complaints – moderately repetitive work <2 hours/day	261	93 095	2.77	2.92	2.21-3.84
Complaints – moderately repetitive work ≥2 hours/day	81	24 623	3.45	3.31	2.17-5.04
Complaints – highly repetitive work	52	18 673	3.60	3.62	1.95-6.72

^a Adjusted for age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 37 402.

b Adjusted for job demands, job control, social support at work, sex, smoking status, body mass index, and age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 29 962.

^b Adjusted for job demands, job control, social support at work, sex, smoking status, body mass index, and age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 29 962.

Table 5. Risk of surgery for subacromial impingement syndrome in relation to combinations of neck-shoulder complaints and shoulder load, psychosocial work factors, and sex, while adjusting for age; body mass index and smoking status did not contribute significantly to the adjusted model. Results of Cox regression analyses stratified by original study. [95% CI=95% confidence interval; HR_{adj}=adjusted hazard ratio]

Risk factors	Cases	Person-years at risk	HR _{crude} ^a	HR _{adj} b	95% CI
No complaints - low shoulder load	36	58 716	1	1	
No complaints - medium shoulder load	53	43 209	1.80	1.58	0.99-2.51
No complaints - high shoulder load	74	41 811	3.06	2.55	1.59-4.09
Complaints - low shoulder load	79	42 077	3.08	3.02	2.02-4.52
Complaints - medium shoulder load	183	53 473	4.83	4.66	3.05-7.12
Complaints - high shoulder load	132	40 841	5.40	4.52	2.87-7.13
Job demands					
Low	294	168 550	1	1	
High	230	101 379	1.21	1.13	0.94-1.36
Job control					
High	170	115 516	1	1	
Low	355	153 986	1.42	1.22	1.00-1.50
Job demands \times job control (interaction term)				1.28 °	0.86-1.89
Social support at work					
From leaders and colleagues	196	111 332	1	1	
From leaders, only	47	28 078	0.78	0.70	0.49-0.99
From colleagues, only	110	50 236	1.16	1.02	0.80-1.29
No social support	167	76 662	1.10	0.91	0.71-1.17
Sex					
Male	241	112 563	1	1	
Female	316	167 562	0.80	0.77	0.60-0.99

^a Adjusted for age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 37 402.

more than five-fold for complaints located specifically in the shoulder in combination with high shoulder load.

Several of the original studies in the MRD have been rated as being among the highest quality studies in international reviews of occupational risk factors for shoulder (9) and other musculoskeletal (36-39) disorders. Additionally, the present study benefited from the fact that the MRD represents large exposure contrasts when compared to general population samples of comparable size, which increased the power of the study to detect effects of high, but less prevalent exposures. A methodological limitation was that, in general, we did not have information on non-responders. However, responses were obtained from ≥70% in all the original studies (table 1), and we stratified the analyses by original study to account for differences between studies regarding participation at baseline as well as assessment of psychosocial variables and neck-shoulder complaints.

We used an expert-based JEM to obtain exposure estimates independently of the symptom status of the participants. If we had relied on self-reported exposure estimates, recall bias could have inflated the associations. Four of the original studies (II, III, IV, and V in table 1) included observations or technical measurements of shoulder exposures. The experts knew the results of these exposure assessments when they rated the job groups for the JEM, which presumably increased

the validity of their ratings, and our findings of exposure—response relations indicated that the JEM had a high predictive validity. However, the experts' ratings were not validated against other exposure estimates, which means that the results may not accurately reflect the risk of surgery associated with the exact exposure categories that we applied. We are currently addressing this issue using technical measurements.

The shoulder load variable was constructed to capture the joint biomechanical exposure, which could be due to any of the three generic exposures (forceful work, work with elevated arms, repetitive work) or a combination of two or all three of them. Since risks associated with one or more exposures were lumped together, analyses using the variable might underestimate risks associated with combined exposures. However, 77% of the participants with high shoulder load had a combination of at least two exposures.

We assumed that exposures did not change markedly after baseline. However, among participants with neck-shoulder complaints, a slight decline in HR_{adj} was observed when exposures increased from medium to high force-scores and durations of arm elevation, which was not observed among participants without neck-shoulder complaints (table 4). This suggests a healthy worker selection, which may have caused us to underestimate exposure-related risks of surgery for SIS.

^b Mutually adjusted for all variables in the table and for age, which was used as the underlying time variable. Number of observations: 35 574.

^c Likelihood-ratio test P=0.22.

The one-year prevalence of neck-shoulder complaints was 45%, which agreed with previously reported general population means of 40% (40, 41), considering the composition of the cohort. Baseline shoulder complaints could have been part of the indication for surgery rather than a predictor of SIS leading to surgery. However, the risk of surgery would then be expected to decrease with time since baseline, which was contradicted by the fact that we found proportional hazards.

Denmark has a public and free healthcare system, which minimizes socioeconomic differences in access to surgery, and we think that our results can be generalized to other countries with easy access to surgery for SIS. Still, our results suggested that persons with low shoulder load had easier access to private treatment. Since private hospitals did not have to report to the Danish National Patient Register until 2007, we may have underestimated surgery rates especially among persons with low shoulder load, and thus overestimated associations with exposures. In that case, the excess fraction of cases among the exposed would be expected to be lower in 2007–2008 than in the preceding period; we observed quite the opposite. Moreover, selective underreporting of around 100 cases in the group with low shoulder load would be necessary to eliminate the association, which seems unrealistic (cf. table 3). Another aspect is that persons with medium and high shoulder load may have had to fulfill tighter indications for surgery, in which case we underestimated what their surgery rates would have been had they had equal access to treatment.

The relations between exposures and risk of surgery for SIS could be due to an increased risk of SIS or a higher probability of surgery given SIS. Persons with high exposures depend on a good shoulder function to continue to work, and in case of SIS they may be more likely to seek treatment. On the other hand, surgeons may expect a poorer prognosis for patients, who intend to return to highly exposed jobs, and in particular, they may be reluctant to offer surgery in case of workers' compensation claims, which have been linked with a poorer prognosis (42, 43). Irrespective of the extent to which our results reflected an increased risk of SIS or a higher probability of surgery given SIS, the findings of the present study, combined with the previously reported risk of permanent work disability after surgery (4), suggest that persons with low education level and/ or high shoulder load have an increased risk of surgery and an increased risk of subsequent work disability. In our opinion, such a combination of risks calls for preventive measures.

Concluding remarks

In conclusion, this longitudinal study showed that forceful work, work with elevated arms, and repetitive work each carried a doubled risk of surgery for SIS, and that persons with neck-shoulder complaints in combination with high shoulder load had a four-fold risk, when compared to participants with no neck-shoulder complaints and low shoulder load. The risk was more than five-fold for complaints located specifically in the shoulder in combination with high shoulder load. Based on this, we think that persons, who develop neck-shoulder and especially shoulder complaints while having a job with high biomechanical shoulder exposures, constitute an obvious target group for interventions to reduce exposures in order to prevent surgery for SIS.

References

- Huisstede BM, Miedema HS, Verhagen AP, Koes BW, Verhaar JA. Multidisciplinary consensus on the terminology and classification of complaints of the arm, neck and/or shoulder. Occup Environ Med. 2007;64(5):313–9. http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/oem.2005.023861.
- Nordqvist A, Rahme H, Hovelius L, Etzner M. Axelns sjukdomar [Shoulder diseases]. Läkartidningen. 2007;104(19):1492-6.
- Vitale MA, Arons RR, Hurwitz S, Ahmad CS, Levine WN. The rising incidence of acromioplasty. J Bone Joint Surg Am. 2010;92(9):1842–50. http://dx.doi.org/10.2106/ JBJS.I.01003.
- Svendsen SW, Frost P, Jensen LD. Time trends in surgery for non-traumatic shoulder disorders and postoperative risk of permanent work disability: a nationwide cohort study. Scand J Rheumatol. 2012;41(1):59–65. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/0 3009742.2011.595375.
- Bodin J, Ha C, Sérazin C, Descatha A, Leclerc A, Goldberg M, et al. Effects of individual and work-related factors on incidence of shoulder pain in a large working population. J Occup Health. 2013;54(4):278–88. http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/ joh.11-0262-OA.
- Herin F, Vezina M, Thaon I, Soulat JM, Paris C. Predictors of chronic shoulder pain after 5 years in a working population. Pain. 2012;153(11):2253–9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. pain.2012.07.024.
- Mayer J, Kraus T, Ochsmann E. Longitudinal evidence for the association between work-related physical exposures and neck and/or shoulder complaints: a systematic review. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2012;85(6):587–603. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s00420-011-0701-0.
- Roquelaure Y, Bodin J, Ha C, Petit Le MA, Descatha A, Chastang JF, et al. Personal, biomechanical, and psychosocial risk factors for rotator cuff syndrome in a working population. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2011;37(6):502–11. http:// dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3179.
- van Rijn RM, Huisstede BM, Koes BW, Burdorf A. Associations between work-related factors and specific

- disorders of the shoulder a systematic literature review. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2010;36(3):189–201. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.2895.
- Bodin J, Ha C, Petit Le MA, Serazin C, Descatha A, Leclerc A, et al. Risk factors for incidence of rotator cuff syndrome in a large working population. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2012;38(5):436–46. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3285.
- Bodin J, Ha C, Chastang JF, Descatha A, Leclerc A, Goldberg M, et al. Comparison of risk factors for shoulder pain and rotator cuff syndrome in the working population. Am J Ind Med. 2012;55(7):605–15. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ ajim.22002.
- Miranda H, Punnett L, Viikari-Juntura E, Heliovaara M, Knekt P. Physical work and chronic shoulder disorder. Results of a prospective population-based study. Ann Rheum Dis. 2008;67(2):218–23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ ard.2007.069419.
- Werner RA, Franzblau A, Gell N, Ulin SS, Armstrong TJ. A longitudinal study of industrial and clerical workers: predictors of upper extremity tendonitis. J Occup Rehabil. 2005;15(1):37–46. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10926-005-0872-1.
- Seidler A, Bolm-Audorff U, Petereit-Haack G, Ball E, Klupp M, Krauss N, et al. Work-related lesions of the supraspinatus tendon: a case-control study. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 2011;84(4):425–33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00420-010-0567-6.
- Rolf O, Ochs K, Bohm TD, Baumann B, Kirschner S, Gohlke F. Rotatorenmanschettendefekt eine Berufserkrankung? Eine epidemiologische Analyse [Rotator cuff tear--an occupational disease? An epidemiological analysis]. Z Orthop Ihre Grenzgeb. 2006;144(5):519-23. http://dx.doi.org/10.1055/s-2006-942240.
- Descatha A, Chastang JF, Cyr D, Leclerc A, Roquelaure Y, Evanoff B. Do workers with self-reported symptoms have an elevated risk of developing upper extremity musculoskeletal disorders three years later? Occup Environ Med. 2008;65(3):205-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2007.033357.
- Jensen LD, Ryom PK, Christensen MV, Andersen JH.
 Differences in risk factors for voluntary early retirement and
 disability pension: a 15-year follow-up in a cohort of nurses'
 aides. BMJ Open. 2012;2(6).
- Udbeningsarbejde i svineslagterier [Deboning in pig slaughterhouses]. København: Arbejdsmiljøinsituttet; 1996.
- Frost P, Bonde JP, Mikkelsen S, Andersen JH, Fallentin N, Kærgaard A, et al. Risk of shoulder tendinitis in relation to shoulder loads in monotonous repetitive work. Am J Ind Med. 2002;41(1):11–8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.10019.
- Andersen JH, Kaergaard A, Mikkelsen S, Jensen UF, Frost P, Bonde JP, et al. Risk factors in the onset of neck/shoulder pain in a prospective study of workers in industrial and service companies. Occup Environ Med. 2003;60(9):649–54. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.60.9.649.
- 21. Svendsen SW, Bonde JP, Mathiassen SE, Stengaard-Pedersen

- K, Frich LH. Work related shoulder disorders: quantitative exposure-response relations with reference to arm posture. Occup Environ Med. 2004;61:844–53. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2003.010637.
- Andersen JH, Harhoff M, Grimstrup S, Vilstrup I, Lassen CF, Brandt LP, et al. Computer mouse use predicts acute pain but not prolonged or chronic pain in the neck and shoulder. Occup Environ Med. 2008;65(2):126–31. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ oem.2007.033506.
- Brandt LP, Andersen JH, Lassen CF, Kryger A, Overgaard E, Vilstrup I, et al. Neck and shoulder symptoms and disorders among Danish computer workers. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2004;30(5):399–409. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/ sjweh.828.
- 24. Jensen LD, Giver H, Andersen JS. Arbejdsmiljø og helbred blandt ansatte inden for voksenhandicapområdet i Århus Amt - en 2-årig opfølgningsundersøgelse på 23 institutioner i Århus Amt [Working environment and health among employees at institutions for adults with disabilities in Aarhus County - a 2-year follow-up study at 23 institutions in Aarhus County]. København: Arbejdsmiljøinstituttet; 2006.
- 25. Bonde JP, Jensen LD. Arbejdsmiljø og helbred hos rengøringsassistenter i Århus Amt. En undersøgelse af sammenhænge mellem øvre bevægeapparatssymptomer og -lidelser ved professionelt rengøringsarbejde [Working environment and health among cleaners in Aarhus County. A study of neck and upper extremity musculoskeletal symptoms and disorders in relation to professional cleaning work]. Århus: Arbejdsmedicinsk Klinik i Århus; Kvindeligt Arbejderforbund i Danmark; 2004.
- Andersen JH, Haahr JP, Frost P. Risk factors for more severe regional musculoskeletal symptoms: a two-year prospective study of a general working population. Arthritis Rheum. 2007;56(4):1355–64. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/art.22513.
- Frost P, Haahr JP, Andersen JH. Reduction of pain-related disability in working populations: a randomized intervention study of the effects of an educational booklet addressing psychosocial risk factors and screening workplaces for physical health hazards. Spine. 2007;32(18):1949–54. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/BRS.0b013e3181342659.
- Hansen CD, Andersen JH. Sick at work--a risk factor for long-term sickness absence at a later date? J Epidemiol Community Health. 2009;63(5):397–402. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.2008.078238.
- Lynge E, Sandegaard JL, Rebolj M. The Danish National Patient Register. Scand J Public Health. 2011;39(7 Suppl):30–3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1177/1403494811401482.
- Teschke K, Olshan AF, Daniels JL, De Roos AJ, Parks CG, Schulz M, et al. Occupational exposure assessment in case-control studies: opportunities for improvement. Occup Environ Med. 2002;59(9):575–93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/ oem.59.9.575.
- Moore JS, Garg A. The Strain Index: a proposed method to analyze jobs for risk of distal upper extremity disorders. Am Ind Hyg Assoc J. 1995;56(5):443–58. http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/15428119591016863.

- Kristensen TR. Sickness absence. The importance of socioeconomic status, job strain, iso-strain and effort-reward imbalance. Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Bispebjerg Hospital, University of Copenhagen, Denmark; 2010.
- 33. Grambsch PM, Therneau TM. Proportional hazards tests and diagnostics based on weighted residuals. Biometrika. 1994;81(3):515–26. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/biomet/81.3.515.
- Schoenfeld D. Partial residuals for the proportional hazards regression model. Biometrika. 1982;69(1):239–41. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1093/biomet/69.1.239.
- Mikkelsen S, Bonde JP, Andersen JH. Analysis of job strain effects. Occup Environ Med. 2011;68(10):786. http://dx.doi. org/10.1136/oemed-2011-100203.
- Palmer KT, Smedley J. Work relatedness of chronic neck pain with physical findings--a systematic review. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2007;33(3):165–91. http://dx.doi. org/10.5271/sjweh.1134.
- van Rijn RM, Huisstede BM, Koes BW, Burdorf A. Associations between work-related factors and the carpal tunnel syndrome--a systematic review. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2009;35(1):19–36. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/ siweh.1306.
- van Rijn RM, Huisstede BM, Koes BW, Burdorf A. Associations between work-related factors and specific disorders at the elbow: a systematic literature review.

- Rheumatology (Oxford). 2009;48(5):528–36. http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/rheumatology/kep013.
- Wai EK, Roffey DM, Bishop P, Kwon BK, Dagenais S. Causal assessment of occupational lifting and low back pain: results of a systematic review. Spine J. 2010;10(6):554–66. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.spinee.2010.03.033.
- Fejer R, Kyvik KO, Hartvigsen J. The prevalence of neck pain in the world population: a systematic critical review of the literature. Eur Spine J. 2006;15(6):834–48. http://dx.doi. org/10.1007/s00586-004-0864-4.
- Côté P, van der Velde G, Cassidy JD, Carroll LJ, Hogg-Johnson S, Holm LW, et al. The burden and determinants of neck pain in workers: results of the Bone and Joint Decade 2000-2010 Task Force on Neck Pain and Its Associated Disorders. J Manipulative Physiol Ther. 2009;32(2 Suppl):S70–S86. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jmpt.2008.11.012.
- American Academy of Orthopaedic surgeons (AAOS).
 Optimizing the management of rotator cuff problems.
 Rosemont (IL): American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons (AAOS); 2010.
- Johannsen HV, Andersen NH, Søjbjerg JO, Sneppen O. Artroskopisk subakromial dekompression [Arthroscopic subacromial decompression]. Ugeskr Laeger. 1997;159(2):166-70.

Received for publication: 15 April 2013