

Review

Scand | Work Environ Health 2014;40(6):543-556

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3445

Health-related interventions among night shift workers: a critical review of the literature

by Neil-Sztramko SE, Pahwa M, Demers PA, Gotay CC

This review summarizes the interventions that have been conducted to improve the physical health of workers exposed to light at night. It highlights the types of interventions implemented, limitations of the current research base, and areas where further investigation is needed.

Affiliation: School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, V2206 East Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z3. carolyn.gotay@ubc.ca

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: 2007;33(3):161-240 2001;27(2):0 2008;34(3):165-234 2010;36(2):81-184 2011;37(1):1-80 2013;39(5):427-530 1990;16 suppl 1:1-79

The following articles refer to this text: 2014;40(6):539-654; 2015;41(3):219-324

Key terms: circadian phase shift; diet; health-related intervention; intervention; light; medication; night shift; physical activity; review; shift schedule; shift work; shift worker; sleep; systematic review

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24980289

Additional material

Please note that there is additional material available belonging to this article on the *Scandinavian Journal of Work, Environment & Health* -website.





Scand J Work Environ Health. 2014:40(6):543-556. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3445

Health-related interventions among night shift workers: a critical review of the literature

Sarah E Neil-Sztramko, MSc,1 Manisha Pahwa, MPH,2 Paul A Demers, PhD,2,3 Carolyn C Gotay, PhD 1,4,5

Neil-Sztramko SE, Pahwa M, Demers PA, Gotay CC. Health-related interventions among night shift workers: a critical review of the literature. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2014;40(6):543–556. doi:10.5271/sjweh.3445

Objectives Associations between shift work and chronic disease have been observed, but relatively little is known about how to mitigate these adverse health effects. This critical review aimed to (i) synthesize interventions that have been implemented among shift workers to reduce the chronic health effects of shift work and (ii) provide an overall evaluation of study quality.

Methods MeSH terms and keywords were created and used to conduct a rigorous search of MEDLINE, CINAHL, and EMBASE for studies published on or before 13 August 2012. Study quality was assessed using a checklist adapted from Downs & Black.

Results Of the 5053 articles retrieved, 44 met the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Over 2354 male and female rotating and permanent night shift workers were included, mostly from the manufacturing, healthcare, and public safety industries. Studies were grouped into four intervention types: (i) shift schedule; (ii) controlled light exposure; (iii) behavioral; and, (iv) pharmacological. Results generally support the benefits of fast-forward rotating shifts; simultaneous use of timed bright light and light-blocking glasses; and physical activity, healthy diet, and health promotion. Mixed results were observed for hypnotics. Study quality varied and numerous deficiencies were identified.

Conclusions Except for hypnotics, several types of interventions reviewed had positive overall effects on chronic disease outcomes. There was substantial heterogeneity among studies with respect to study sample, interventions, and outcomes. There is a need for further high-quality, workplace-based prevention research conducted among shift workers.

Key terms circadian phase shift; diet; light; medication; physical activity; shift schedule; shift work; sleep; systematic review.

Shift work may be defined as the organization of working time to cover more than the usual 8-hour workday, up to a 24-hour period (1). Some epidemiological studies have used three night shifts per month to classify exposure to night shift work (1) although no standard definition exists. Shift work is prevalent in healthcare, emergency services, manufacturing, retail, and hospitality. Some jobs require regular work on the same night shift (ie, permanent night shift), while others are employed on rotating shift schedules involving days and nights. Approximately 15–20% of the working population in Europe and North America is employed in either a permanent night or rotating shift schedule (2).

Shift work, particularly work at night, has been found to disrupt endogenous circadian rhythms involved in melatonin expression, sleep patterns, food digestion, and other physiological processes (2). Work at night is associated with a range of known and potential adverse health effects. In 2007, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified shift work involving circadian disruption as a probable human carcinogen (group 2A) based on sufficient animal evidence and limited human evidence (2). The epidemiological studies considered in IARC's evaluation showed increased risks of breast cancer among long-term rotating shift workers and emerging evidence for other cancer types, such as

Correspondence to: Carolyn Gotay, School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, V2206 East Mall, Vancouver, BC, Canada, V6T 1Z3. [E-mail: carolyn.gotay@ubc.ca]

¹ School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

Occupational Cancer Research Centre, Cancer Care Ontario, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Dalla Lana School of Public Health, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Cancer Prevention Centre, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

⁵ BC Cancer Research Centre, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada.

prostate and colorectal (2). Since the IARC decision, several meta-analyses have been published, one supporting the association between shift work and breast cancer (3) and two reporting inconclusive evidence (4, 5). Aside from potential cancer risks, shift workers also experience increased incidence of chronic illnesses including cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and metabolic syndrome (a combination of obesity, dyslipidemia, high cholesterol, and insulin resistance) (6), as well as gastrointestinal disorders (7), workplace injuries (8), and disruption of family and social life (9).

The short- and long-term effects of shift work on sleep have also been studied. Night work has been shown to reduce sleep quantity and quality on workdays and days off. While shift workers tend to fall asleep rapidly in the morning immediately following a night shift, sleep tends to be shorter due to the natural awakening effects of circadian rhythms during the daytime, as well as social cues and daytime commitments. Objective assessments using electroencephalography (EEG) readings show a decrease in rapid eye movement (REM) sleep and stage-two sleep (10). Sleep questionnaires completed by shift workers show reduced sleep length and higher frequencies of sleep difficulties, intermittent sleep, and early waking (11). Poor sleep quality and quantity have been shown to be related to various chronic diseases (12) including diabetes (13), cardiovascular disease (14), and obesity (15, 16). Thus, sleep quantity and quality are important outcomes of interventions aimed at improving long-term health among shift workers.

There is a need for interventions that can be implemented in workplaces – or by workers outside of work hours – to mitigate the harmful effects of shift work. Laboratory and field-based studies have been conducted to evaluate preventive approaches and interventions that promote health. To date, studies have assessed: (i) shift schedule changes (eg., direction of rotation, speed of rotation, shift length, and self-rostering); (ii) controlled exposure to light and dark (eg, exposure to bright light in the workplace, use of goggles to minimize bright light exposure after night shift work and before sleep); (iii) behavioral or lifestyle interventions (eg, dietary changes, physical activity, scheduled napping); and, (iv) pharmacological aids or other substances to facilitate sleep (eg, exogenous melatonin) or to enhance alertness (eg, Modafinil, caffeine).

Reviews have summarized the effects of specific intervention types such as caffeine (17), bright light and melatonin (18), and changes in shift schedules (19), however these reviews included laboratory-based studies that were conducted among non-shift workers in simulated night shift environments, and findings may not be generalizable. They also included studies that examined outcomes likely irrelevant to long-term health, such as productivity and absenteeism. To our

knowledge, there is currently no comprehensive review focused exclusively on data collected from prospective interventions conducted among shift workers with the aim of improving long-term health. A summary of this evidence would help to identify potentially effective interventions and gaps for further research.

The primary objective of this review was to synthesize the research reporting interventions that have been implemented among shift workers designed to prevent the long-term, adverse health effects of shift work. A secondary aim was to evaluate the overall quality of included studies. Based on the findings, future directions for intervention research are suggested.

Methods

Search strategy

A comprehensive list of MeSH terms related to shift workers, health-based interventions, and long-term health outcomes were developed (Appendix, www.sjweh.fi/data_repository.php) and used to search MED-LINE, CINAHL, and EMBASE for studies published on or before 13 August 2012. The search was limited to studies that were conducted on human subjects and published as English-language articles in peer-reviewed journals. Reference lists of relevant review papers and studies identified in the literature search were hand searched for other potentially eligible articles.

Study eligibility and selection

Two reviewers independently inspected the title and abstract of each study identified to determine eligibility for inclusion. Eligibility was based on a pre-determined set of criteria (Appendix, www.sjweh.fi/data repository.php). Studies were included if the intervention aimed to improve one or more chronic disease-related health outcomes among shift workers. Participants must have been working permanent or rotating night shifts at the time of intervention. Interventions that were implemented in simulated work environments or nonshift workers (eg, healthy volunteers) were excluded. Interventions that were conducted among workers with extreme work schedules (eg, >24 hours of continuous work) or workers who cross time zones (eg, astronauts, aircrew, military workers) were excluded because of potential confounding from factors such as cosmic radiation and jet lag.

The intervention must have been implemented for \geq 7 consecutive days since this review focused on interventions with implications on long-term health. Before-and-after studies, or natural interventions (defined as studies

involving an intervention not initiated by researchers) were included if there was at least one main outcome measured both pre- and post-intervention in order to determine the effect of the intervention itself. We included non-pharmacological and pharmacological interventions. Randomized and non-randomized study designs were included, as well as case—control, and cohort studies if the exposure was an intervention.

Eligible studies were required to report on outcomes related to chronic disease risk as defined by the World Health Organization (WHO): "diseases of long duration and generally slow progression" (20). The related health outcomes included were: (i) sleep quantity and quality; (ii) markers of circadian disruption/adaptation; (iii) biological markers of chronic disease; and (iv) common modifiable risk factors for chronic disease as identified by the WHO (20) (Appendix, www.sjweh. fi/data repository.php). Studies only reporting organizational outcomes (eg, productivity, absenteeism) were excluded because they were beyond the scope of this review's focus on shift workers' health. Similarly, studies that only measured work-related injuries were excluded because this outcome has a different etiology than chronic disease. Although the experience of sleepiness and fatigue are part of the diagnosis of shift work sleep disorder (21), these outcomes were excluded in this review since they are more strongly related to workrelated injuries and productivity than chronic disease risk, which is linked with the measures of sleep quality and quantity that are included here. Mental health and psychosocial outcomes such as psychological stress, work-life balance, burnout, mood, and well-being were also excluded. Although these are interesting and important outcomes, they represent a distinct set of health effects that have different risk factors and etiologies compared to chronic disease as defined in this review. Outcomes such as "attitudes towards intervention" were omitted since these were primarily concerned with the intervention itself and not shift workers' health.

The two reviewers each generated a list of eligible studies that were compared, and eligibility of any paper in question was resolved by consensus. Included papers were obtained in full and further reviewed for data extraction and quality assessment.

Quality assessment

Study quality was assessed using a 28-point checklist adapted from Downs & Black, with reported test-retest and inter-rater reliability of 0.88 and 0.75, respectively (22). The original checklist has been widely used in systematic reviews of both randomized and non-randomized studies. Of the various quality assessment tools available, this was the most appropriate tool as it has been validated, and it was not possible to randomize

workers in many of the included studies. The checklist encompasses four key areas with the following number of points available: (i) reporting of objectives, outcomes, study subjects, interventions, confounders, results, adverse events, loss to follow-up, and probability values (11 points); (ii) external validity (3 points); (iii) internal validity: a) bias in the measurement of the intervention and the outcome (7 points), and b) confounding related to the selection of study subjects (6 points); and (iv) statistical power (1 point). Two reviewers independently completed the checklist and gave each study a score for each section, and an overall score. Scores assigned by each reviewer were compared and discrepancies were resolved by consensus. Aggregate scores for intervention types are presented for different intervention types or sub-types in order to identify areas for improvement in subsequent research. Individual quality scores are published in the Appendix (http://www.sjweh.fi/ data repository.php).

Data extraction and synthesis

Included studies were grouped as one of four intervention types: controlled light exposure, shift schedule, behavioral, and pharmacological. Detailed information about the objective, design, sample, intervention, comparison group, and outcomes were extracted from each publication and tabulated independently. Only health outcomes that met eligibility criteria were extracted. Adverse events and funding sources were noted. It was not possible to conduct a meta-analysis due to the heterogeneity of study designs, populations, interventions, and outcomes. Authors were not contacted for additional information about their studies. Missing information was noted.

Results

The literature search generated 5053 search results. Of these, 4425 titles and abstracts did not meet inclusion criteria and were excluded (Appendix, figure 1, www.sjweh.fi/data_repository.php). Fulltext articles were obtained for the remaining 628 search results. Of these, 584 were excluded. The most common reason for exclusion was laboratory or simulated interventions conducted among non-shift working volunteers. Hence, this review included 44 articles describing results from 38 different interventions published between 1982 and 2012.

Demographic characteristics

Studies included a total of 2354 workers (table 1). One-third (36.6%) were industrial or manufacturing workers, followed by healthcare workers (18.4%), police officers

and security workers (7.7%), and workers in other occupations and industries (37.4%). Most worked rotating shifts (60.7%); only 2.7% worked permanent night shifts (remainder, not reported). Studies that assessed changes in shift schedules recruited the largest number of workers (N=1023) compared to studies of controlled light exposure (N=243), behavioral interventions (N=203), and pharmacological interventions (N=902). Reports included more men (54.0%) than women (30.4%). Shift workers' age ranged from 20–58 years.

Quality assessment

The average rating across all studies was 15.9 out of a possible 28 points (range: 8–27) (table 2, for individual scores see Appendix, tables A–D, http://www.sjweh.fi/data_repository.php). For reporting, scores ranged from 2–11 (mean 7.0) out of a possible 11. Information was most frequently missing for the distribution of principal

confounding factors in study groups, adverse events, and p-values for statistical tests. External validity scores ranged from 0–3 (mean 1.2) out of a possible 3, with reviewers frequently unable to determine whether participants were representative of shift workers as a whole or of workers in specific industries under investigation. Internal validity (bias) scores ranged from 3–7 (mean 4.4) out of a possible 7. Particular concerns were insufficient information about compliance and lack of blinding of subjects and assessors. Scores for internal validity (confounding) ranged from 1–6 (mean 3.2) out of a possible 6. Deficiencies were most common regarding randomization, concealment of group allocation until complete baseline assessment, and reporting loss to follow-up. Only three interventions reported a sample size calculation.

Controlled light exposure

The literature search yielded 16 papers that described

Table 1. Characteristics of participants in included studies. Percentages across study types may exceed 100% due to one study (24) that included both controlled light exposure and pharmacological interventions

	Total (N=2354) (Age range 20.0-58.0)		Controlled light exposure (N=2453) (Age range 25.0-55.0)		Shift schedule change (N=1023) (Age range 23.8-56.0)		Behavioral (N=203) (Age range 20.0–49.0)		(N= (Age	cological 902) range -58.0)
	N %		Workers (N)	Studies (N)	Workers (N)	Studies (N)	Workers (N)	Studies (N)	Workers (N)	Studies (N)
Occupation or industry										
Industrial, manufacturing, maintenance	861	36.6	35	3	651	10	122	2	53	1
Nurse, resident, physician	433	18.4	131	4	176	2	81	2	45	1
Police officer, security	181	7.7	15	1	120	2		0	46	2
Oil rig, mine	92	3.9	34	3	58	1		0	17	1
Mail room, computer operators	46	2.0	28	1	18	1		0		0
Various	741	31.5		0		0		0	741	3
Shift schedule)										
Permanent nights	64	2.7	64	3		0		0		0
Rotating	1429	60.7	169	8	1023	15	93	3	161	5
Not reported	861	36.6	10	1		0	110	1	741	3
Gender										
Male	524	22.3	21	3	375	6	128	3		0
Female	191	8.1	116	3		0	75	1		0
Both male and female	1348	57.3	106	6	386	6		0	873	7
Not reported	291	12.4		0	262	3		0	29	1

Table 2. Quality assessment by study type.

	Maximum possible score	possible exposure			Changes in shift scheduling		Behavioral interventions		Pharmacological interventions	
		Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
Reporting	11	7.1	2-10	6.5	3-10	7.4	4-11	7.5	5-11	7.0
External validity	3	0.9	0-2	1.4	1-3	2.2	1-3	0.8	0-2	1.2
Internal validity (bias)	7	4.1	3-6	4.1	3-5	4.4	3-6	5.6	3-7	4.4
Internal validity (confounding)	6	2.9	1-5	3.0	2-4	3.8	2-6	4.1	2-6	3.2
Power	1	0.1	0-1	0	0	0.2	0-1	0.1	0-1	0.1
Total	28	15.1	8-21	14.9	9-20	18.0	10-27	18.1	11-24	15.9

12 interventions of controlled light exposure among shift workers (23–38) (table 3). Mean study quality was 15.1 (range: 9–21). The use of intermittent bright light was evaluated in 7 studies (23, 24, 29, 30, 32, 33, 36, 38), 4 used a combination of bright light and light-blocking goggles (25–28, 31, 35, 37), and another

evaluated glasses that filtered blue light wavelengths (34). Across all interventions, light intensity ranged from 200–10,000 lux, and cumulative exposure times per shift ranged from 10 minutes to 6 hours. Follow-up ranged from 7–96 days (mean=23.7 days, median=14.0 days). The most common outcomes were sleep (N=9)

Table 3. Controlled light exposure interventions. [+ = positive change; - = detrimental change; x = no change; ref=reference/comparison group; BL=bright light; BMI=body mass index; F=female; M=male; PSG=polysomnography; SW=shift work; VAS=visual analogue scale]

Study	N	Sample	Intervention	Outcome measures (tool)			
					Treat	Control n/a n/a	
Bjorvatn et al, 1999 (23)	7	M oil rig workers, age 29–47 years	30 min BL (10 000 lux) 3h < wake time	Subjective sleep - nights (diary) Subjective sleep - days off (diary)	++		
				_	BL	Melatonin	Placebo
Bjorvatn et al, 2007 (24)	17	M=16, F=1, oil rig workers, mean age 42 years	1) 30 min BL (10 000 lux), < nadir 2) 3 mg melatonin 3) Placebo	Subjective sleep - nights (diary) Objective sleep - nights (Actiwatch) Subjective sleep - days off (diary) Objective sleep - days off (Actiwatch)	X X X +	X + p X	ref ref ref ref
Boivin et al, 2002, 2004 (27, 28)	15	M=6, F=9 nurses, mean age 41.7 years	1) BL exposure (~2000 lux) 2) Neutral gray density lens goggles (commute)	Phase angle – body temp & melatonin Phase shift – body temp & melatonin		a, b a, b	- +
James et al, 2004 (31)		years	iens goggies (commute)	Phase shift – cortisol Cortisol - 24h mean concentration		a, b	_ a X
Boivin et al, 2012a (25)				Objective sleep - nights (PSG)	+	_ b	ref
Boivin et al, 2012b (26)	15	M=7, F=8 police officers, mean age 29.8 years	1) Intermittent BL 2) Orange-tinted goggles (sunrise to day sleep)	Phase shift - (melatonin) Total melatonin – before/after nights Total melatonin - nights		+ ^a X - b	+ ^a X X
Budnick et al, 1995 (29)	13	M=11, F=2 industrial techs, median age 35 years	1) Ambient light (1500 lux) 2) BL (4000-8000 lux) >50% of shift	Subjective sleep (log book) Melatonin		x x	n/a n/a
Figueiro et al, 2001 (30)	21	F day (N=12) and night (N=9) nurses, age 25–38 years	1) 15 min BL (2300–4000 lux) at start/middle/end of shift; 2) BL + dark goggles (sham)	Body temperature - nights		X	n/a
Kakooei et al, 2010, (32) Zamanian et al, 2010 (38)	34	F nurses, mean age 27 years	BL (4500 lux), 2×45 minutes	Melatonin (mean) Body temperature t peak Cortisol (mean)	+	_ a _ a _ a	n/a
Lowden et al, 2004 (33)	18	M=17, F=1 industrial operators, mean age 36.2 years	BL (2500 lux) 2 self-chosen breaks	Objective sleep (Actiwatch) Melatonin (mean)		+ . b	ref ref
Sasseville et al, 2009 (34)	28	M=13, F=15 mail center workers, 25–55 years	Blue-blocker goggles during night shift commute	Objective sleep (Actiwatch)	+	_ a	n/a
Sasseville et al, 2010 (35)	4	M sawmill workers, mean age 44.8 years	Environment supplemented with blue-green light (200 lux) Blue-blockers on commute when outside <1600h	, , ,		+ +	n/a
Tanaka et al, 2011 (36)	61	F nurses, mean age 29.7 years	10 min BL (5444–8826 lux) on day-shift mornings	Subjective sleep quality - nights (VAS) Alcohol consumption		. b X	ref
Thorne et al, 2010 (37)	10	M oil rig workers, mean age 46–49 years, BMI >28	1) 1h BL (~3000 lux) 2) Sunglasses from wake to BL exposure	Melatonin adaptation (h/day) Objective sleep (Actigraphy) Subjective sleep (Diary)		X + X	ref

^a Significant difference before-after intervention, P<0.05.

^b Significant between groups, P<0.05.

(23–25, 29, 33–37) and markers of circadian rhythm: melatonin (N=7) (26–29, 32, 33, 35, 37, 38), cortisol (N=2) (31, 32, 38), and body temperature (N=3) (27, 28, 30, 32, 38).

Controlled light exposure had different effects on health. Two brief periods of bright light significantly affected 24-hour total sleep time (including naps) among truck plant workers, but did not change sleep efficiency or quality (33). Among oil workers, bright light at the rig and on days off improved sleep latency and total sleep time (23), and oil workers who also wore sunglasses improved sleep efficiency (37). Nurses who were exposed to bright light before the midpoint of peak melatonin concentration and who wore goggles during the commute home increased total sleep time after night shifts (25). There was also some indication that phase shift, an indicator of circadian adaptation to night shift work, had occurred, as evidenced by significant body temperature and melatonin changes. Nurses who exposed themselves to bright light for ten minutes on workday mornings reported significant improvements in quality of night sleep on day shifts compared to non-bright light exposure periods (36). Wearing blue-blocking goggles while commuting improved total sleep time (34) and sleep efficiency (35) in two studies. The two remaining studies found no significant effect of bright light on sleep parameters (24, 29).

Of the studies that used a bright light intervention (with or without goggles), four successfully altered melatonin levels (26–28, 32, 33, 38) and three did not (29, 35, 37), with no difference in quality scores between the two groups of studies (means 14.2 and 14.3, respectively). Cortisol was measured as an indicator of circadian rhythms in two studies; one was successful in shifting the usual release pattern of salivary cortisol (31), and the second increased plasma cortisol levels over the night shift (32, 38). Body temperature also follows the circadian rhythm and was used to assess circadian adaptation to night shift work in three studies; two effectively altered body temperature (27, 28, 32, 38), while one found no change (30). Other health outcomes evaluated are summarized in table 2.

Shift schedule change

Fifteen interventions evaluated a change in shift schedule (39–54) (table 4). Mean study quality was 14.9 (range: 9–20). Interventions involved changes from a backward (counter-clockwise) to forward (clockwise) rotating shift (N=6) (40–42, 45, 48, 49, 53) and vice versa (N=1) (44), switching from 8- to 10- or 12-hour shifts (N=6) (43, 46, 47, 50, 51, 54), adjusting the shift schedule based on ergonomic principles (39), flexible shift scheduling (53), and delaying shift start time (52). Many changes from backward to forward rotating shifts

also increased rotation speed (N=4) (40, 42, 45, 53). Follow-up ranged from four weeks to one year (mean 8.3 months, median 9 months). The three most frequently evaluated outcomes were sleep (N=15) (39–54), behaviors related to chronic disease risk (eg, diet, physical activity levels, alcohol intake) (N=7) (39, 40, 45, 48–51, 53), and chronic disease risk factors (eg, cholesterol, triglycerides, blood pressure) (39, 48–50, 53).

Three studies found that sleep quantity or quality was significantly positively affected by changing from backward to forward rotation (40-42), but this effect was not observed in three other reports, which found no significant effects on sleep (45, 48, 49, 53). In one study, this change was associated with significant decreases in triglycerides, glucose, and systolic blood pressure (48, 49). However, overall study quality was worse in studies that found a significant effect on sleep (mean scores 14.3 and 17.0, respectively). Of interventions that changed from 8- to 10- or 12-hour shifts, three improved sleep (47, 50, 54), one significantly improved physical fitness (50), and three resulted in no significant or negative changes in sleep after the night shift (43, 46, 51). Those which found no change were of higher quality (mean score 14.0) than those who found a significant effect (13.3). Another intervention took a multi-faceted approach to shift scheduling based on four ergonomic principles: regularity, fewer consecutive night shifts, more weekends off, and two different types of shifts. This resulted in a significant decline in low-density lipoprotein (LDL) and total:high-density lipoprotein (HDL) ratio; however, sleep quality was unaffected (39). Airline maintenance workers given individual flexibility and control over work hours experienced no significant improvement of any health parameters (53). A one-hour delay in start time at a steel plant resulted in increased sleep on morning shift days, but decreased sleep on evening and night shift days (52).

Behavioral interventions

Four interventions were implemented to modify behavior (table 5): a 1-hour rest period for electric power plant workers on the night shift (55), a physical activity program for nurses and nursing aides (56, 57), a weight loss program among aluminum plant workers (58, 59), and an educational program about strategies to enhance adaptation to shift work for emergency department attending physicians (60). The number of workers in these studies ranged from 6–110 (mean 50.8, median 43.5). Follow-up ranged from 3 weeks to 1 year (mean 21.3 weeks, median 15 weeks). Sleep was reported in three of four studies (55, 57, 60). Mean study quality was 18.0 (range: 10–27).

Physical activity improved sleep length with variable results on subjective sleep quality (57), and education

Table 4. Change in shift schedule interventions. [+ = positive change; - = detrimental change; x = no change; ref=reference/comparison group; M=male; F=female; A=afternoon shift; BL=Bright Light; Bwd=backward; CRP=C-reactive protein; D=day shift; E=evening shift; Fwd=forward; Gl=glucose; HbA1C=hemoglobin A1C; KSQ=Karolinska Sleep Questionnaire; LSHCl=Lund Subjective Health Complaints Inventory; M=morning shift; N=night shift; o=on call; S&Y=Shiftwork and You questionnaire; SW=shiftwork; SSI=standard shiftwork index; T=training/support; TG=triglycerides; VAS=visual analog scale; W=work.

Author/Year	N	Sample	Intervention	Outcome Measures (Tool)	F	lesu	lts
					Treatm	ent	Contro
Bøggild et al, 2001 (39)	101	Nurses, median age 35-42 years	1) I4 (regularity; fewer consecutive shifts; more weekends off; 2 types of shifts) 2) I3 (any three of above)	Cholesterol Subjective sleep quality (Diary) Lifestyle - Exercise, smoking, alcohol (questionnaire)	+ b X X	X X X	X X X
Hakola et al, 2001 (40)	16	M steel factory workers, young (30–39 years) and old (44–56 years)	Fast fwd rotating shift; (MMEENN)	Subjective sleep quality - days (SSI) Subjective sleep quality - nights (SSI) Objective sleep (Actigraph)	+ ^a X +		n/a
Hakola et al, 2010 (41)	75	M=4, F=71 nurses, mean age 46 years	Fewer Quick transitions Fwd shift rotation	Subjective sleep (SSI) Leisure-time activity (SSI)	+ ^a + ^a		n/a
Härmä et al, 2006 (42)	140	M airline maintenance workers; mean age 36 (<45) years and 50 (>45) years;	Rapidly fwd rotating shift (MEN)	Objective sleep (Actigraph)	+ b		n/a
Hossain et al, 2004 (43)	58	M=56, F=2 miners, mean age 40.3 years	Bwd rotation, 10-h, 2-shift (DDDDNNN)	Subjective sleep duration (S&Y) Subjective sleep quality – nights (S&Y) Subjective sleep quality – days/off (S&Y)	- - a + a		n/a
Karlson et al, 2009 (44)	118	M=98, F=20 manufacturing workers, mean age 44.6 years	Slower, bwd rotating shifts: (MMMNNNAAA)	Sleep disturbances (KSQ) Health - Self-rated (single-item) Health - (LSHCI)	+ a, b + a, b + a, b		- + +
Knauth et al, 199 (45)	143	Steel manufacturing workers, mean age 35.6–39.8 years	Quick, fwd rotation - continuous (MEENN) or discontinuous (MMM-MMMEEE- NNNEEENNN-)	Health – Subjective (1-7 Scale) Subjective sleep duration (questionnaire) Leisure time	X X X		X X X
Lowden et al, 1998 (46)	14	M= 12, F=2 chemical plant workers, mean age 37 years	Fast rotating 12-hour (NNDD NNDDDNNDD)	Subjective sleep (diary)	Х		n/a
Mitchell et al, 2000 (47)	15	M power station workers, mean age 44 years	12-h rotating shift day/night shifts (16-week schedule)	Subjective sleep (diary & VAS)	+ ^a		n/a
Orth-Gomér, 1982, 1983 (48, 49)	45	M police officers, mean age 30 years	Fwd rotating shift	Fasting cholesterol TG GI Uric acid Epinephrine/Norepinephrine Blood pressure Tobacco consumption (#/8h shift) Subjective sleep quality	+ + b + b + b + c + c + c + c + c + c +		ref
Peacock et al, 1983 (50)	75	M police officers, mean age 32.8 years	12h-8 day rotating schedule (NN-DD)	Physical fitness (W170 test) Resting Blood Pressure Body temperature Subjective sleep quality (1-7 scale)	+ ^a X X + ^a		n/a
Rosa et al, 1989 (51)	53	M=45, F=4 (4=?) control *room officers at processing plant, age >25 years	12-h rotating shift (TTTT 000 NNNN 000 DDD o NNN 000 – DDD)	Subjective sleep duration - nights/days (diary) Exercise bouts Subjective sleep quality – nights (1-9) Subjective sleep quality – days (1-9)	+ - + -		n/a
Rosa et al, 1996 (52)	68	M=63, F=2 steel plant op- erators, Young: mean age 31 years, Old: mean age 50 years	1h delay in start time	Sleep - Mornings (diary & Actigraph) Sleep -Nights (diary & Actigraph)	+ ^a - ^a		n/a
					Fwd	Flex	
Viitasalo et al, 2008 (53)	, 84	M airline maintenance workers, mean age 37-47 years	Fwd, rapid rotating (MEN) Flexible shift (typically EEE MMMNNN), fewer work hours	Cholesterol TG GI HbA1c CRP	- + +	+ X + +	X - + +
				Resting blood pressure Body composition Diet Alcohol Physical Activity Subjective sleep quality	- X + X +	+ - + - +	X - X + -
Williamson et al, 1994 (54)	18	Computer operators, mean age 23.8 years	Rotating 12-h, 3-shift system (DDNN)	Subjective sleep duration (diary) Subjective sleep duration – off (diary) # of awakenings– day shift (diary) # of awakenings– night shift/off (diary)	+ ^a - ^a - + ^a		n/a

^a Significant difference before-after intervention, P<0.05.

^b Significant between groups, P<0.05.

Table 5. Behavioral interventions. [Note: + = positive change; - = detrimental change; x=no change, M=male; F=female; FFQ=food frequency questionnaire; PSG=polysomnography; SW=shiftwork; VO₂Max=maximal oxygen consumption]

Study	N	Sample	Intervention	Outcome Measures (Tool)	Resu	Its
					Treatment	Control
Bonnefond et al, 2001 (55)	12	M power plant workers, mean age 37 years	1-h rest (23:30-03:30h)	Sleep duration - night shift	-	n/a
Härmä et al, 1988a (57)	75	F nurses, age 20–49 years	Physical training program targeting circulatory and muscular systems (jogging, running, swimming, skiing, walking and gymnastics); 2-6x/week, 60-70% maximum heart rate	VO ₂ Max Strength (# sit-ups/30s) Body composition Subjective sleep length (Diary) Subjective sleep quality – Morning & night (diary) Subjective sleep quality – Evening (diary)	+ a, b + a, b + a + a + a -	- + + X - +
Härmä et al, 1988b (56)				Body Temperature Mesor –days/nights Body Temperature Amplitude–days Body Temperature Amplitude – nights Body Temperature Acrophase – days Body Temperature Acrophase –nights	+ ^a + ^a + ^a +	+ ^a + ^a - ^a + ^a
Morgan et al, 2011 (58, 59)	110	M aluminum plant workers mean age 44.4 years; BMI 25–40	Group-based weight loss lifestyle intervention, one-on-one information session, study website, resource booklet, pedometer, financial incentive	Body composition Blood pressure Physical activity Diet – Fruit, Vegetables, Bread, Milk (FFQ) Diet– Cola, Diet & Soda Drinks (FFQ) Alcohol risk score	+ a, b + a + a, b + a - b	- + + + +
Smith- Coggins et al, 1997 (60)	6	M emergency physicians, mean age 34 years	2-hour sleep physiology/hygiene education session	Subjective sleep (Log) Objective sleep time (PSG)	X + ^a	X + ^a

^a Significant difference before-after intervention, P<0.05.

about sleep hygiene strategies resulted in significantly improved REM sleep time (60). A 1-hour rest period during the night resulted in no significant change in sleep duration following the night shift (55). Other outcomes were also evaluated (table 5). Exercise significantly increased maximal aerobic capacity and strength, although circadian phase did not differ between groups, as measured by body temperature (56, 57). A group-based lifestyle intervention for weight loss was associated with significantly decreased body mass index and blood pressure and significantly improved physical activity and fruit intake (58).

Pharmacological interventions

Eight pharmacological interventions met inclusion criteria (24, 61–67) (table 6). Mean study quality score was 18.1 (range: 11–24). Two pharmacological agents were found to aid sleep following the night shift: melatonin and Zopiclone. Dosages of 3.0 mg (24, 62) or 5.0 mg (66) of exogenous melatonin were administered to workers in three studies. This resulted in significant sleep improvements after 14 (24) and 28 days (66) in two of three studies. Zopiclone (7.5 mg) was administered in two different study groups which reported insomnia: workers at a security company and a car manufacturing plant. Zopiclone had positive effects on total sleep time (61, 67) and quality (67), as well as sleep efficacy (61) and induction (67).

Three studies evaluated the use of Modafinil or

Armodafinil as stimulants before night shifts among workers who met the defined criteria for shift work sleep disorder (63–65). Administration of 200 mg and 300 mg of Modafinil did not significantly change endogenous melatonin levels or sleep quantity before or after night shifts (63, 65). Armodafinil (150 mg) resulted in a small but statistically significant improvement in nighttime sleep latency but had no effect on daytime sleep (64).

Discussion

The main objective of this review was to synthesize intervention studies designed to mitigate the adverse health effects of shift work. Overall, interventions were complex and highly variable, which was reflected in the results. For example, studies of controlled light exposure used bright light, light-blocking goggles or glasses, and combinations of the two. Even within studies of intermittent bright light, patterns of exposure differed greatly with regards to timing, duration, frequency, and intensity. Therefore, it was difficult to draw direct comparisons across interventions or amongst outcomes, or to recommend one intervention to best improve the health of shift workers. We were also unable to conduct a meta-analysis to estimate magnitudes of effects for each intervention type due to study heterogeneity. Nevertheless, the main strength of this review was that all studies were conducted among participants who were engaged

^b Significant between groups, P<0.05.

Table 6. Pharmacological interventions. [Note: + = positive change; - = detrimental change; x=no change; M=male; F=female; BL=bright light; PSG=polysomnography; SW=shiftwork; VAS=visual analogue scale]

Study	N	Sample	Intervention	Outcome Measures (Tool)		3	
						Treatment	
					BL	Melatonin	Placebo
Bjorvatn et al, 2007 (24)	17	M=16, F=1, oil rig workers, mean age 42 years	1) 30 minutes BL (10 000 lux) < nadir 2) 3 mg melatonin 3) Placebo	Subjective sleep – nights (diary) Objective sleep – nights (Actiwatch) Subjective sleep - days off (diary) Objective sleep - days off (Actiwatch)	X X X +	+ b X + b	ref
Božin-Juračíc et al, º 1996 (61)	29	Security workers, age 24–58 years	1) 7.5 mg Zoplicone 2) 5 mg nitrazepam 3) Placebo; taken after night shift.	Subjective sleep duration (diary) Subjective sleep quality (VAS)		+ ^a X	ref
Cavallo et al, 2005 (62)	45	M=16, F= 29 pediatric residents, mean age 28.6 years	Melatonin (3 mg) after night shift before sleep in a dark room	Subjective sleep duration/quality (VAS) Subjective sleep duration/quality, days taking melatonin (VAS)		X X	ref ref
Czeisler et al, ^c 2005 (63)	209	M=122, F=87, mean age 38 years, SW disorder	Modafinil (200mg), 30–60 minutes prior to night shift	Objective sleep efficiency (PSG) Objective sleep duration (PSG) Melatonin phase shift		+ + +	+ + -
Czeisler et al,º 2009 (64)	254	M=135, F=119, mean age 39 years, SW disorder	150 mg Armodafinil 30–60 prior to night shift	Subjective sleep latency – night time (diary) Objective sleep – day time (PSG) Blood pressure Heart rate		+ ^a + -	X X +
Erman et al,º 2007 (65)	278	M=111, F=167, mean age 40 years, SW sleep disorder	1) 200 mg Modafinil; 2) 300 mg Modafinil; 3) Placebo; 30–60 min prior to night shift	Subjective sleep (diary)		Х	Х
Folkard et al, 1993 (66)	17	M=15, F=2 police officers, mean age 29 years	5 mg melatonin (or placebo) prior to day sleep and prior to first four night sleeps	Subjective sleep duration (Diary) Subjective sleep quality (VAS)		+ ^a + ^a	+
Monchesky et al, 1989 (67)	53	M=47, F=6 auto plant workers; mean age 34.9 years	7.5 mg Zopiclone (or placebo) 30 min before bed during night shifts	Subjective sleep (Questionnaire)		+ ^a	Х

^a Significant difference before-after intervention, P<0.05.

in night shift work. While laboratory-based studies are important for understanding the mechanisms underlying the link between shift work and adverse health outcomes, conducting workplace-based research is a key step in translating findings to real-world settings.

The aim of interventions that control light exposure is to shift circadian rhythms and subsequently promote adaptation to work at night, thereby minimizing health effects. In our review, a combination of timed bright light and light-blocking goggles appeared to promote adaptation to shift work as primarily measured by changes in sleep and melatonin. A previous review by Burgess et al (18) similarly found that timed exposure to high intensity light during night shifts and wearing goggles during the commute home can increase circadian adaptation. Although many of the studies included in the latter review were performed in simulated night shift environments, the general consistency with our review, which included more variable workplace conditions, suggests that multi-pronged interventions to control light exposure may be more effective than using bright light or light-blocking goggles alone. Due to the nature of the interventions, most studies were not

blinded or randomized, resulting in a loss of quality scores for internal validity. However, scores for reporting were generally high.

Fast-forward rotating shifts tended to report more favorable results for sleep. However, findings were inconsistent for changes in shift length or start time. Shift scheduling has been attempted to improve healthy lifestyle behaviors with positive effects reported in one of the studies reviewed (41) but not in five others (39, 45, 48, 49, 53). Shift workers may be less likely to engage in regular physical activity, smoking cessation, and healthy diet, which may contribute to increased risks of adverse health outcomes (68). Objective outcomes that may be the result of improved lifestyle habits, such as low-density lipoprotein cholesterol (39); triglycerides, fasting glucose, and blood pressure (48, 49); cardiorespiratory fitness (50); and blood pressure (53), did show improvement in association with a change in shift schedule. However, improvements were not universal or consistent in magnitude across studies and studies with higher quality scores appeared to find less favorable changes. Again, because shift-scheduling changes were generally implemented across workplaces, randomiza-

^b Significant between groups, P<0.05.

c Industry-sponsored study.

tion and blinding were not possible, but selection bias was of less concern because a large percentage of workers were included in each study.

Interventions directed at physical activity (56, 57) and weight loss (58, 59) improved cardiorespiratory fitness and strength (56, 57), body composition, blood pressure, and physical activity (58, 59). This suggests that lifestyle habits may not improve spontaneously among shift workers as a result of shift schedule changes, and interventions specifically targeted at improving lifestyle behaviors may be necessary.

Studies of melatonin, hypnotics, and stimulants showed mixed results, potentially due to different doses administered to workers, compliance, shift schedule variation, and other factors. Pharmacological studies were more commonly randomized controlled trials (RCT) and often double blinded, resulting in higher scores for quality. However external validity and generalizability, as well as prevalence of adverse events should be investigated in future studies. Some adverse effects were reported, including insomnia and headache from Modafinil (63, 65), and nausea, anxiety, low-back pain, and other effects from Armodafinil (64). Adverse events were also reported across other intervention types such as headaches or feelings of heat/cold in response to bright light exposure (29, 30), and difficulty scheduling social or family activities as a result of a shift schedule change (39, 41), Several studies stated that no significant adverse events resulted from the interventions (35, 36, 46, 61, 62), but most did not report adverse events at all. Since participants were not monitored for adverse health effects beyond the study period in all articles, we could not evaluate potential long-term negative health consequences of the interventions.

These findings are particularly relevant for younger rotating shift workers in the manufacturing, healthcare, and public safety sectors in Europe and North America, as these populations were most commonly represented in the studies included. Approximately one-third of shift workers studied were in manufacturing, which may partly explain the greater proportion of male compared to female shift workers in this review. Future studies should be conducted in underrepresented groups. For example, although this review identified workers between the ages of 24-58 years (most years of working life), only three studies specifically examined age effects by stratifying results by older and younger workers (40, 42, 52). The health effects of shift work may be more pronounced for older workers or those who have worked shifts for numerous years. Correspondingly, interventions to reduce the chronic health effects of shift work might have different effects on older and younger workers, warranting separate analyses.

As a secondary objective of this review, we presented aggregate and individual quality assessments in order to help identify general areas for improvement in future research. While many studies received low scores overall, and within specific sections, this may partly be attributed to the inherent limitations of the checklist selected for evaluating the quality of studies of this type. Low individual scores are not necessarily indicative of a poorly done study. The design and outcomes of these studies reflect real life workplace settings, and the information presented is useful for developing evidence informed interventions. Nevertheless, there are some changes that can be made to improve future study quality.

Reporting and external validity are areas for continued development; studies published after 2002 tended to receive higher scores (mean 17.6) than studies published before 2002 (mean 14.0), primarily due to improvements in these areas. Follow-up was guite short for many of the studies reviewed, with the longest mean follow-up observed for studies that altered shift schedules (8.2 months) and the shortest for studies of controlled light exposure (23.7 days). Since short-term changes in health outcomes may not persist in the long term, longer follow-up is needed to determine whether the interventions reviewed resulted in clinically meaningful effects on the development of chronic disease in shift workers. Sample size is another area for improvement. In this review, only three studies reported sample sizes with adequate power to detect a statistically significant difference in primary outcomes of interest (36, 58, 59, 63).

RCT are almost never feasible to implement in the workplace where an intervention affects all workers (eg, change in shift schedule) or when it is difficult to prevent contamination of study groups. Studies of shift scheduling and controlled light exposure scored particularly low on internal validity for this reason. A cluster RCT that involves randomly assigning groups of workers (eg, wards in a hospital) to an intervention may be more practical than randomizing individual workers and should be considered in future studies. Ensuring and reporting on adequate compliance, particularly in the context of controlled light exposure or behavior change interventions, is also difficult but should be urged in future studies. Lack of compliance may decrease the likelihood of finding significant health improvements and limits interpretation, reproducibility, and translation into the workplace.

Different methods used to assess similar outcomes may have also contributed to inconsistent results observed between studies of the same intervention type. For example, sleep outcomes reported using actigraphy or polysomnography (PSG), compared to sleep diaries, logs or questionnaires, more frequently found improvements in sleep. Of the five studies reporting both subjective and objective measures, two showed improvements only in objective measures (37, 60) two

showed improvements only in subjective measures (24, 64), while one showed improvements in both (40). While logs or questionnaires may have lacked adequate sensitivity to detect sleep pattern changes, actigraphy or PSG were limited by technical issues or poor compliance. Future studies should consider using both objective and self-reported measures to enhance validity, and standard methods for measuring sleep-related outcomes.

We excluded self-reported sleepiness as an outcome. as it is more closely related to workplace safety than chronic disease risk (36, 43). However, of the included interventions that reported on sleepiness, findings were aligned with sleep quality and quantity results (23, 24, 33, 36, 42, 53, 60, 64). One exception was a study of Modafinil, which significantly reduced sleepiness during the commute home from a night shift but had no effects on sleep (63). While sleepiness during commuting is an important problem for shift workers, this indicator is not related to chronic disease risk (ie, the focus of our review). We also excluded absenteeism as an outcome, as it is most closely related to productivity and workrelated outcomes. However, it is possible that diseasespecific sickness absence could provide an indication of chronic disease diagnosis and severity and should be considered in future studies.

Following our systematic search, we briefly scanned the literature for articles published between 14 August 2012 and 1 May 2014, and identified four that may have met our inclusion criteria (69–72). Future reviews that integrate newer studies would be a valuable addition to the state of the science as synthesized in this paper.

Evaluating preventive strategies among shift workers is a relatively new and evolving area of research. This critical review highlights the range of practical interventions conducted in "real life" workplace settings. Previous reviews have been limited by considering either a single intervention type or outcome, or by including studies conducted in laboratory settings, worksite and home-based interventions, and by including both shift workers and healthy volunteers. The scope of our search on multiple databases enabled us to include 38 interventions representing four general intervention types. Our search was rigorous, spanning three large databases for all years up to 13 August 2012. This allowed us to minimize publication bias and identify most of the relevant studies for the aim of this review. This review also illuminates important gaps in shift work intervention research.

Combinations of intervention types and personalized interventions offer promising ways to improve the health of shift workers but were not identified in our search. Comprehensive, evidence-based approaches that include best practices in shift scheduling, a range of options to control exposure to light and dark, support for physical activity and healthy eating, as well as pharmacological

agents, may be the best ways to improve health. There is also a need to develop and test novel approaches, like social support, possibly using new technologies such as smart phones to help with sleep or other adverse effects.

As shift work becomes increasingly prevalent, relevant and high-quality research conducted on large numbers of shift workers in their normal working conditions and workplaces to test the effectiveness of different interventions is required. There is no "one size fits all" solution, and individual shift workers may have different responses to interventions as the result of chronobiology, personal preferences that affect compliance, or other factors that remain to be assessed. Intervention research should account for potential biases and other lifestyle, work, and environmental confounding factors that might be related to shift work and chronic disease. Innovative, evidence-based prevention efforts should be developed and evaluated to simultaneously meet the unique health needs of shift workers and the mandates of the organizations and industries in which they work. This is a promising area with many potential areas for further investigation.

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr Cameron Mustard (Institute for Work and Health, Toronto, Ontario, Canada) and Dr Kristan Aronson (Cancer Research Institute, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, Canada) for contributing to the conceptualization of this systematic review.

This project was funded by grants from the Canadian Cancer Society Research Institute [principle investigator (PI): CCG], the Canadian Cancer Society British Columbia-Yukon (PI: CCG), and the Workplace Safety and Insurance Board of Ontario (WSIB #10115) (PI: PAD). The first author is supported by a Fredrick Banting and Charles Best Canada Graduate Scholarship Doctoral award from the Canadian Institute for Health Research.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Stevens RG, Hansen J, Costa G, Haus E, Kauppinen T, Aronson KJ, et al. Considerations of circadian impact for defining 'shift work' in cancer studies: IARC Working Group Report. Occup Environ Med. 2011;68(2):154-62. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.2009.053512
- International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Painting, Fire-Fighting and Shift work. Lyon: IARC; 2007. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans, vol 98.

- Jia Y, Lu Y, Wu K, Lin Q, Shen W, Zhu M, et al. Does night work increase the risk of breast cancer? A systematic review and meta-analysis of epidemiological studies. Cancer Epidemiol. 2013;37(3):197-206. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. canep.2013.01.005
- Ijaz SI, Verbeek JH, Seidler A, Lindbohm ML, Ojajärvi A, Orsini N, et al. Night-shift work and breast cancer a systematic review and meta-analysis. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2013;39(5):431-47. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/siweh.3371
- Kamdar BB, Tergas AI, Mateen FJ, Bhayani NH, Oh J. Nightshift work and risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Breast Cancer Res Treat. 2013;138(1):291-301. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10549-013-2433-1
- Wang XS, Armstrong ME, Cairns BJ, Key TJ, Travis RC. Shift work and chronic disease: the epidemiological evidence. Occup Med (Lond). 2011;61(2):78-89. http://dx.doi. org/10.1093/occmed/kqr001
- Knutsson A, Bøggild H. Gastrointestinal disorders among shift workers. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2010;36(2):85-95. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.2897
- Wong IS, McLeod CB, Demers PA. Shift work trends and risk of work injury among Canadian workers. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2011;37(1):54-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/ sjweh.3124
- Khaleque A. Sleep Deficiency and Quality of Life of Shift Workers. Social Indicators Research. 1999;46(2):181-9. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1023/A:1006971209513
- Åkerstedt T. Psychological and psychophysiological effects of shift work. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1990;16 Suppl 1:67-73. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1819
- Escribà V, Pérez-Hoyos S, Bolumar F. Shift work: its impact on the length and quality of sleep among nurses of the Valencian region in Spain. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1992;64(2):125-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00381480
- 12. Luyster FS, Strollo PJ, Jr., Zee PC, Walsh JK, Boards of Directors of the American Academy of Sleep Medicine, Sleep: a health imperative. Sleep. 2012;35(6):727-34.
- Cappuccio FP, D'Elia L, Strazzullo P, Miller MA. Quantity and quality of sleep and incidence of type 2 diabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Diabetes care. 2010;33(2):414-20. http://dx.doi.org/10.2337/dc09-1124
- Rod NH, Kumari M, Lange T, Kivimaki M, Shipley M, Ferrie J. The Joint Effect of Sleep Duration and Disturbed Sleep on Cause-Specific Mortality: Results from the Whitehall II Cohort Study. PLoS One. 2014;9(4): e91965. http://dx.doi. org/10.1371/journal.pone.0091965
- Gangwisch JE, Malaspina D, Boden-Albala B, Heymsfield SB. Inadequate sleep as a risk factor for obesity: analyses of the NHANES I. Sleep. 2005;28(10):1289-96.
- McNeil J, Doucet É, Chaput JP. Inadequate sleep as a contributor to obesity and type 2 diabetes. Canadian journal of diabetes. 2013;37(2):103-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. jcjd.2013.02.060
- 17. Ker K, Edwards PJ, Felix LM, Blackhall K, Roberts I.

- Caffeine for the prevention of injuries and errors in shift workers. Cochrane database of systematic reviews (Online). 2010;CD008508.
- Burgess HJ, Sharkey KM, Eastman CI. Bright light, dark and melatonin can promote circadian adaptation in night shift workers. Sleep Med Rev. 2002;6(5):407-20. http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/S1087-0792(01)90215-1
- Bambra CL, Whitehead MM, Sowden AJ, Akers J, Petticrew MP. Shifting schedules: the health effects of reorganizing shift work. American journal of preventive medicine. 2008;34(5):427-34. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. amepre.2007.12.023
- World Health Organization. Preventing chronic diseases: a vital investment. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization, 2005.
- American Academy of Sleep Medicine. The International Classification of Sleep Disorders: Diagnostic and Coding Manual, Revised. Westchester, 2001.
- Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of health care interventions. Journal of epidemiology and community health. 1998;52(6):377-84. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/jech.52.6.377
- Bjorvatn B, Kecklund G, Åkerstedt T. Bright light treatment used for adaptation to night work and re-adaptation back to day life. A field study at an oil platform in the North Sea. J Sleep Res. 1999;8(2):105-12. http://dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2869.1999.00146.x
- 24. Bjorvatn B, Stangenes K, Øyane N, Forberg K, Lowden A, Holsten F, et al. Randomized placebo-controlled field study of the effects of bright light and melatonin in adaptation to night work. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2007;33(3):204-14. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1129
- Boivin DB, Boudreau P, James FO, Kin NM. Photic resetting in night-shift work: impact on nurses' sleep. Chronobiol Int. 2012;29(5):619-28. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420528.201 2.675257
- Boivin DB, Boudreau P, Tremblay GM. Phototherapy and orange-tinted goggles for night-shift adaptation of police officers on patrol. Chronobiol Int. 2012;29(5):629-40. http:// dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2012.675252
- Boivin DB, James FO. Circadian adaptation to nightshift work by judicious light and darkness exposure.
 J Biol Rhythms. 2002;17(6):556-67. http://dx.doi. org/10.1177/0748730402238238
- Boivin DB, James FO. Intermittent exposure to bright light in field conditions. Aviat Space Environ Med. 2004;75(3 Suppl):A158-60.
- Budnick LD, Lerman SE, Nicolich MJ. An evaluation of scheduled bright light and darkness on rotating shift workers: trial and limitations. Am J Ind Med. 1995;27(6):771-82. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1002/ajim.4700270602
- 30. Figueiro MG, Rea MS, Boyce P, White R, Kolberg K. The effects of bright light on day and night shift nurses' performance and well-being in the NICU. Neonatal Intensive Care. 2001;14(1):29-32.

- James FO, Walker CD, Boivin DB. Controlled exposure to light and darkness realigns the salivary cortisol rhythm in night shift workers. Chronobiol Int. 2004;21(6):961-72. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1081/CBI-200035944
- Kakooei H, Ardakani ZZ, Ayattollahi MT, Karimian M, Saraji GN, Owji AA. The effect of bright light on physiological circadian rhythms and subjective alertness of shift work nurses in Iran. Int J Occup Saf Ergon. 2010;16(4):477-85.
- Lowden A, Åkerstedt T, Wibom R. Suppression of sleepiness and melatonin by bright light exposure during breaks in night work. J Sleep Res. 2004;13(1):37-43. http://dx.doi. org/10.1046/j.1365-2869.2003.00381.x
- Sasseville A, Benhaberou-Brun D, Fontaine C, Charon MC, Hébert M. Wearing blue-blockers in the morning could improve sleep of workers on a permanent night schedule: a pilot study. Chronobiol Int. 2009;26(5):913-25. http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/07420520903044398
- Sasseville A, Hébert M. Using blue-green light at night and blue-blockers during the day to improves adaptation to night work: a pilot study. Prog Neuropsychopharmacol Biol Psychiatry. 2010;34(7):1236-42. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j. pnpbp.2010.06.027
- Tanaka K, Takahashi M, Tanaka M, Takanoa T, Nishinoue N, Kaku A, et al. Brief morning exposure to bright light improves subjective symptoms and performance in nurses with rapidly rotating shifts. J Occup Health. 2011;53(4):258-66. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1539/joh.L10118
- Thorne HC, Hampton SM, Morgan LM, Skene DJ, Arendt J. Returning from night shift to day life: Beneficial effects of light on sleep. Sleep and Biological Rhythms. 2010;8(3):212-21. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1479-8425.2010.00451.x
- Zamanian Z, Kakooei H, Ayattollahi SM, Dehghani M. Effect of bright light on shift work nurses in hospitals. Pak J Biol Sci. 2010;13(9):431-6. http://dx.doi.org/10.3923/ pjbs.2010.431.436
- Bøggild H, Jeppesen HJ. Intervention in shift scheduling and changes in biomarkers of heart disease in hospital wards. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2001;27(2):87-96. http:// dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.594
- Hakola T, Harma M. Evaluation of a fast forward rotating shift schedule in the steel industry with a special focus on ageing and sleep. J Hum Ergol (Tokyo). 2001;30(1):315-9.
- 41. Hakola T, Paukkonen M, Pohjonen T. Less quick returns-greater well-being. Ind Health. 2010;48(4):390-4. http://dx.doi.org/10.2486/indhealth.MSSW-02
- 42. Härmä M, Tarja H, Irja K, Mikael S, Jussi V, Anne B, et al. A controlled intervention study on the effects of a very rapidly forward rotating shift system on sleep-wakefulness and well-being among young and elderly shift workers. Int J Psychophysiol. 2006;59(1):70-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpsycho.2005.08.005
- 43. Hossain JL, Reinish LW, Heslegrave RJ, Hall GW, Kayumov L, Chung SA, et al. Subjective and objective evaluation of sleep and performance in daytime versus nighttime sleep in extended-hours shift-workers at an underground mine. J Occup Environ Med. 2004;46(3):212-26. http://dx.doi.

org/10.1097/01.jom.0000117421.95392.31

- Karlson B, Eek F, Ørbaek P, Österberg K. Effects on sleeprelated problems and self-reported health after a change of shift schedule. J Occup Health Psychol. 2009;14(1):97-109. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1037/a0014116
- Knauth P, Hornberger S. Changes from weekly backward to quicker forward rotating shift systems in the steel industry. International Journal of Industrial Ergonomics. 1998;21(3-4):267-73. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0169-8141(97)00049-8
- Lowden A, Kecklund G, Axelsson J, Åkerstedt T. Change from an 8-hour shift to a 12-hour shift, attitudes, sleep, sleepiness and performance. Scand J Work Environ Health. 1998;24 Suppl 3:69-75.
- Mitchell RJ, Williamson AM. Evaluation of an 8-hour versus a 12-hour shift roster on employees at a power station. Appl Ergon. 2000;31(1):83-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0003-6870(99)00025-3
- Orth-Gomér K. Intervention on coronary risk factors by changing working conditions of Swedish policemen. Act Nerv Super (Praha). 1982;Suppl 3:223-9.
- Orth-Gomér K. Intervention on coronary risk factors by adapting a shift work schedule to biologic rhythmicity. Psychosom Med. 1983;45(5):407-15. http://dx.doi. org/10.1097/00006842-198310000-00004
- Peacock B, Glube R, Miller M, Clune P. Police officers' responses to 8- and 12-hour shift schedules. Ergonomics. 1983;26(5):479-93. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140138308963364
- Rosa RR, Colligan MJ, Lewis P. Extended workdays: Effects of 8-hour and 12-hour rotating shift schedules on performance, subjective alertness, sleep patterns, and psychosocial variables. Work and Stress. 1989;3(1):21-32. http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/02678378908256877
- 52. Rosa RR, Härmä M, Pulli K, Mulder M, Näsman O. Rescheduling a three shift system at a steel rolling mill: effects of a one hour delay of shift starting times on sleep and alertness in younger and older workers. Occup Environ Med. 1996;53(10):677-85. http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/oem.53.10.677
- Viitasalo K, Kuosma E, Laitinen J, Härmä M. Effects of shift rotation and the flexibility of a shift system on daytime alertness and cardiovascular risk factors. Scand J Work Environ Health. 2008;34(3):198-205. http://dx.doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.1228
- 54. Williamson AM, Gower CG, Clarke BC. Changing the hours of shift work: a comparison of 8- and 12-hour shift rosters in a group of computer operators. Ergonomics. 1994;37(2):287-98. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140139408963646
- Bonnefond A, Muzet A, Winter-Dill AS, Bailloeuil C, Bitouze F, Bonneau A. Innovative working schedule: introducing one short nap during the night shift. Ergonomics. 2001;44(10):937-45. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140130110061138
- 56. Härmä MI, Ilmarinen J, Knauth P, Rutenfranz J, Hänninen O. Physical training intervention in female shift workers: II. The effects of intervention on the circadian rhythms of alertness, short-term memory, and body temperature. Ergonomics. 1988;31(1):51-63. http://dx.doi. org/10.1080/00140138808966648

- Härmä MI, Ilmarinen J, Knauth P, Rutenfranz J, Hänninen O. Physical training intervention in female shift workers:
 I. The effects of intervention on fitness, fatigue, sleep, and psychosomatic symptoms. Ergonomics. 1988;31(1):39-50. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00140138808966647
- Morgan PJ, Collins CE, Plotnikoff RC, Cook AT, Berthon B, Mitchell S, et al. Efficacy of a workplace-based weight loss program for overweight male shift workers: the Workplace POWER (Preventing Obesity Without Eating like a Rabbit) randomized controlled trial. Prev Med. 2011;52(5):317-25. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ypmed.2011.01.031
- Morgan PJ, Collins CE, Plotnikoff RC, Cook AT, Berthon B, Mitchell S, et al. The impact of a workplace-based weight loss program on work-related outcomes in overweight male shift workers. J Occup Environ Med. 2012;54(2):122-7. http:// dx.doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0b013e31824329ab
- Smith-Coggins R, Rosekind MR, Buccino KR, Dinges DF, Moser RP. Rotating shift work schedules: can we enhance physician adaptation to night shifts? Acad Emerg Med. 1997;4(10):951-61. http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1553-2712.1997.tb03658.x
- Božin-Juračíc J. Pharmacotherapy of transient insomnia related to night work. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol. 1996;47(2):157-65.
- Cavallo A, Ris MD, Succop P, Jaskiewicz J. Melatonin treatment of pediatric residents for adaptation to night shift work. Ambul Pediatr. 2005;5(3):172-7. http://dx.doi. org/10.1367/A04-124R.1
- Czeisler CA, Walsh JK, Roth T, Hughes RJ, Wright KP, Kingsbury L, et al. Modafinil for excessive sleepiness associated with shift-work sleep disorder. N Engl J Med. 2005;353(5):476-86. http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/ NEJMoa041292
- Czeisler CA, Walsh JK, Wesnes KA, Arora S, Roth T. Armodafinil for treatment of excessive sleepiness associated with shift work disorder: a randomized controlled study. Mayo Clin Proc. 2009;84(11):958-72. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/ S0025-6196(11)60666-6

- 65. Erman MK, Rosenberg R, Modafinil Shift Work Sleep Disorder Study G. Modafinil for excessive sleepiness associated with chronic shift work sleep disorder: effects on patient functioning and health-related quality of life. Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry. 2007;9(3):188-94. http://dx.doi. org/10.4088/PCC.v09n0304
- Folkard S, Arendt J, Clark M. Can melatonin improve shift workers' tolerance of the night shift? Some preliminary findings. Chronobiol Int. 1993;10(5):315-20. http://dx.doi. org/10.3109/07420529309064485
- Monchesky TC, Billings BJ, Phillips R, Bourgouin J. Zopiclone in insomniac shift workers. Evaluation of its hypnotic properties and its effects on mood and work performance. Int Arch Occup Environ Health. 1989;61(4):255-9. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00381423
- Nabe-Nielsen K, Quist HG, Garde AH, Aust B. Shift work and changes in health behaviors. J Occup Environ Med. 2011;53(12):1413-7. http://dx.doi.org/10.1097/ JOM.0b013e31823401f0
- Järnefelt H, Lagerstedt R, Kajaste S, Sallinen M, Savolainen A, Hublin C. Cognitive behavioral therapy for shift workers with chronic insomnia. Sleep medicine. 2012;13(10):1238-1246. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2012.10.003
- Järvelin-Pasanen S, Ropponen A, Tarvainen M, Paukkonen M, Hakola T, Puttonen, S, et al. Effects of implementing an ergonomic work schedule on heart rate variability in shiftworking nurses. J Occup Health. 2013;55(4):225-33. http://dx.doi.org/10.1539/joh.12-0250-OA
- Lee KA, Gay CL, Alsten CR. Home-Based Behavioral Sleep Training for Shift Workers: A Pilot Study. Behavioral sleep medicine. 2013; Epub ahead of print. http://dx.doi.org/10.10 80/15402002.2013.825840
- Rahman SA, Shapiro CM, Wang F, Ainlay H, Kazmi S, Brown TJ, et al. Effects of filtering visual short wavelengths during nocturnal shift work on sleep and performance. Chronobiol Int. 2013;30(8):951-62. http://dx.doi.org/10.3109/07420528.201 3.789894

Received for publication: 12 December 2013