Scand J Work Environ Health 2019;45(1):100

<https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3789>

Published online: 13 Nov 2018, Issue date: 01 Jan 2019

Authors' response to "Multiple-job holding is not a type of precarious employment"

by [Koranyi I](#), [Jonsson J](#), [Rönneblad T](#), [Stockfelt L](#), [Bodin T](#)

Affiliation: Center for Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Solnavägen 4, SE-11364 Stockholm, Sweden. theo.bodin@ki.se

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: [2019;45\(1\):98-99](#)
[2018;44\(4\):341-350](#)

The following article refers to this text: [2019;45\(5\):429-443](#)

Key terms: [job insecurity](#); [part-time work](#); [precarious employment](#); [self-employment](#); [temporary work](#)

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30420996

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](#).

Authors' response to "Multiple-job holding is not a type of precarious employment"

We would like to thank the authors of the letter entitled "Multiple job holding is not a type of precarious employment" (1) and extend our thanks to the Editor-in-Chief for giving us the opportunity to respond.

The authors of the letter point out that precarious employment is a multidimensional construct, with which we completely agree. There is however no consensus on which dimensions should be included in this construct, and therefore we chose a broad approach including three of the most wide-spread definitions: Guy Standing's (2), the Employment Precarious Scale (3) and the ILO's (4). The ILO definition explicitly includes multiple employers. A one-on-one comparison of the definitions can be found in table 1 of our review (5). A one-on-one comparison of these can be found in table 1 of our review (5). We found very few studies using a multidimensional definition of precarious employment and so our review ended up investigating studies on single dimensions, such as holding multiple jobs.

The main argument of Bouwhuis et al is that multiple job holding should not be included as a dimension of precarious employment as it "does not relate to the quality of the terms of employment" and "not all multiple job holders are vulnerable or precarious". This argument could be made about any single dimension and is the rationale behind creating a multidimensional construct, ie, no single variable is enough to capture the complexity of the issue. In the case of employment instability, some temporary workers are precarious and some are not (6). Some part-time workers are precarious although many are not. And as the authors of the letter has shown in their own study; some multiple job holders are precarious while some are not (7).

Thus, we can all agree that holding multiple jobs is not the same as being precariously employed. However, we believe that multiple job holding should continue to be considered as an important dimension of precarious employment.

There are several ongoing initiatives to try to reach consensus on what comprises precarious employment. We are glad that the debate on precarious employment is alive and well in this journal and elsewhere. Any reader interested in contributing to this effort are welcome to contact us.

References

1. Bouwhuis S, Geuskens GA, van der Beek AJ, Boot CRL. Multiple-job holding is not a type of precarious employment. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2019;45(1):98–99. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3782>
2. Standing G. *The precariat: The new dangerous class*. London: Bloomsbury Publishing; 2011.
3. Vives A, Amable M, Ferrer M, Moncada S, Llorens C, Muntaner C, et al. The Employment Precariousness Scale (EPRES): psychometric properties of a new tool for epidemiological studies among waged and salaried workers. *Occup Environ Med*. 2010;67(8):548–55. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2009.048967>
4. ILO. *Non-standard forms of employment*. Geneva: International Labour Office; 2015.
5. Koranyi I, Jonsson J, Ronnblad T, Stockfelt L, Bodin T. Precarious employment and occupational accidents and injuries - a systematic review. *Scand J Work Environ Health*. 2018;44(4):341–50. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3720>
6. Julià M, Vives A, Tarafa G, Benach J. S09-4 The precarization of the spanish labour market and its impact on mental health. *Occup Environ Med*. 2016;73:A109-A110 <https://doi.org/10.1136/oemed-2016-103951.296>
7. Bouwhuis S, Hoekstra T, Bongers PM, Boot CRL, Geuskens GA, van der Beek AJ. Distinguishing groups and exploring health differences among multiple job holders aged 45 years and older. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health*. 2018:1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00420-018-1351-2>

Isa Koranyi, MD,^{1,2} Johanna Jonsson, MSc,¹ Torkel Rönblad, BSc,¹ Leo Stockfelt, MD, PhD,² Theo Bodin MD, PhD^{1,3}

¹ Unit of Occupational Medicine, Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

² Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University of Gothenburg and Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Gothenburg, Sweden.

³ Center for Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Stockholm County Council, Stockholm, Sweden.

Correspondence to: Theo Bodin, Center for Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Solnavägen 4, SE-11364 Stockholm, Sweden. [theo.bodin@ki.se]