



Letter to the Editor

Scand J Work Environ Health [Online-first -article](#)

doi:10.5271/sjweh.3900

Not just a research method: If used with caution, can job-exposure matrices be a useful tool in the practice of occupational medicine and public health?

by [Fadel M](#), [Evanoff BA](#), [Andersen JH](#), [d'Errico A](#), [Dale AM](#), [Leclerc A](#), [Descatha A](#)

Affiliation: UNIV Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S1085, CAPTV CDC, Angers, France. alexis.descatha@inserm.fr

Refers to the following texts of the Journal: [2020;46\(3\):231-234](#)
[2020;46\(3\):259-267](#)

Key terms: [JEM](#); [job exposure matrix](#); [job-exposure matrix](#); [method](#); [methodology](#); [occupational health](#); [occupational medicine](#); [public health](#); [tool](#)

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32367143



This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Not just a research method: If used with caution, can job-exposure matrices be a useful tool in the practice of occupational medicine and public health?

The recent editorial by Dr Susan Peters “Although a valuable method in occupational epidemiology, job-exposure matrices are no magic fix” ably describes the strengths and limitations of job-exposure matrix (JEM) approaches in occupational epidemiology research (1). In addition to their use in research, we would like to add that JEM may also be of use in compensation and surveillance efforts in occupational health.

JEM could assist the compensation process by supporting the assessment of relevant exposures related to specific health conditions (2). The potential usefulness of a JEM as a decision tool for compensation of work-related musculoskeletal disorders has been examined (3). Because occupational diseases are often under-recognized, another practical application is using a JEM to screen for occupational exposures as part of health surveillance. Use of JEM to screen for asbestos and wood dust exposure in the clinical setting has shown promising results (4–6). By summarizing multiple exposures at a job level (7), JEM may also assist policy-makers in setting priorities for hazards and controls at work, as well as occupational practitioners to target prevention efforts and direct the conduct of more precise exposure measures to particular jobs.

Sharing JEM across different countries may be useful in providing estimates of exposures across larger populations to calculate global burden of disease related to occupational exposure. The JEMINI (JEM International) initiative was launched to explore the possibility of developing international JEM that could be used across countries (8). Beginning with physical (biomechanical) exposures, this open group has started homogenizing job coding systems and comparing some available JEM. Estimating differences in the level of exposure between countries will require much more work, without guaranteed success.

As Peters mentioned, many limitations exist in the use of JEM. Users of JEM must consider the source of exposure data – expert assessments, data collected from individual workers, or environmental sampling. The coding of occupations is time consuming and can introduce error (9), and more testing of and comparison with automated job coding systems is needed (10). JEM reflect an “average” level of exposure within a job at the expense of individual variation. At population level, JEM can offer a useful estimate of exposures. If used at

an individual level in a clinical or compensation setting, JEM cannot replace the professionals involved in exposure assessment but may help them focus their action more effectively on complex situations that require their expertise.

In conclusion, these JEM developed for research might also be used as a public health tool, provided that their limitations are properly taken into account.

References

1. Peters S. Although a valuable method in occupational epidemiology, job-exposure-matrices are no magic fix. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2020;46:2314. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3894>
2. Kerbrat J, Descatha A. (The recognition of health consequences of difficult working conditions in France and its evaluation with the use of a job-exposure matrix). *Arch Mal Prof Environ.* 2018;79:493500. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.admp.2017.12.001>
3. Fadel M, Valter R, Quignette A, Descatha A. Usefulness of a job-exposure matrix « MADE » as a decision tool for compensation of work-related musculoskeletal disorders. *Eur J Public Health* 2019;29:86870. <https://doi.org/10.1093/eurpub/cky274>
4. Lorentz E, Despreaux T, Quignette A, Chinot T, Descatha A. (Screening of occupational exposure to asbestos and silica by job-exposure matrix among patients with lung cancer and mesothelioma). *Rev Mal Respir.* 2019;36:108895. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rmr.2019.08.006>
5. Imbernon E, Goldberg M, Spyckerell Y, Steinmetz J, Bonenfant S, Fournier B. (Use of a job-exposure matrix for the screening of occupational exposure to asbestos). *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 2004;52:717. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0398-7620\(04\)99018-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0398-7620(04)99018-9)
6. Carton M, Bonnaud S, Nachtigal M, Serrano A, Carole C, Bonenfant S, et al. Post-retirement surveillance of workers exposed to asbestos or wood dust: first results of the French national SPIRALE Program. *Epidemiol Prev.* 2011;35:31523.
7. Guéguen A, Goldberg M, Bonenfant S, Martin JC. Using a representative sample of workers for constructing the SUMEX French general population based job-exposure matrix. *Occup Environ Med.* 2004;61:58693. <https://doi.org/10.1136/oem.2003.010660>

8. Descatha A, Evanoff BA, Andersen JH, Fadel M, Ngabirano L, Leclerc A, et al. JEMINI (Job Exposure Matrix InterNational) Initiative: a Utopian Possibility for Helping Occupational Exposure Assessment All Around the World? *J Occup Environ Med.* 2019;61:e3201. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000001631>
9. Petersen SB, Flachs EM, Svendsen SW, Marott JL, Budtz-Jørgensen E, Hansen J, et al. Influence of errors in job codes on job exposure matrix-based exposure assessment in the register-based occupational cohort DOC*X. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2020;46:25967. <https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.3857>
10. Buckner-Petty S, Dale AM, Evanoff BA. Efficiency of autocoding programs for converting job descriptors into standard occupational classification (SOC) codes. *Am J Ind Med.* 2019;62:5968. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajim.22928>

Marc Fadel, MSc, MD resident,¹ Bradley A Evanoff, MD, MPH,² Johan H Andersen, MD, PhD,³ Angelo d'Errico, MD,⁴ Ann Marie Dale, PhD,² Annette Leclerc, PhD,⁵ Alexis Descatha, MD, PhD¹

- 1 UNIV Angers, CHU Angers, Univ Rennes, Inserm, EHESP, Irset (Institut de recherche en santé, environnement et travail) - UMR_S1085, CAPTV CDC, Angers, France
- 2 Division of General Medical Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA
- 3 Occupational Medicine, University Research Clinic, Herning, Denmark
- 4 Unit of Epidemiology, Regional Health Service ASL TO3, Grugliasco (Turin), Italy.
- 5 Inserm, UMS 011 Population-based Epidemiologic Cohorts Unit, Villejuif, France.

Correspondence to: Dr Alexis Decatha, Irset -Inserm UMR 1085, Equipe Ester- CHU/ Université d'Angers, Faculté de Santé, 28 rue Roger Amsler, CS 74521 49045 Angers, France.

[Email: alexis.descatha@inserm.fr]