Scand J Work Environ Health 1999;25(3):296-300

<https://doi.org/10.5271/sjweh.438>

Issue date: Jun 1999

Collaboration between developing and developed countries and between developing countries in occupational health research and surveillance

by Partanen TJ, Hogstedt C, Ahasan R, Aragón A, Arroyave ME, Jeyaratnam J, Kurppa K, Loewenson R, Lundberg I, Ngowi AVF, Mbakaya CFL, Stayner L, Steenland K, Weiderpass E, Wesseling C

Key terms: collaboration; cooperation; developed country; developing country; empowerment; impact; infrastructure; occupational health; occupational health research; occupational health surveillance; occupational safety; occupational safety and health; priority; sponsorship; sustainability; training; tropics

This article in PubMed: www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10450783

This work is licensed under a [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Collaboration between developing and developed countries and between developing countries in occupational health research and surveillance¹

by Timo J Partanen, PhD,² Christer Hogstedt, MD,³ Rabiul Ahasan, DTech,⁴ Aurora Aragón, MD,⁵ Maria E Arroyave, MSc,⁶ Jerry Jeyaratnam, MD,⁷ Kari Kurppa, PhD,² René Loewenson, PhD,⁸ Ingvar Lundberg, MD,⁹ A Vera F Ngowi, MSc,¹⁰ Charles FL Mbakaya, MSc,¹¹ Leslie Stayner, PhD,¹² Kyle Steenland, PhD,¹³ Elisabete Weiderpass, MD,^{9,13} Catharina Wesseling, PhD^{3,14}

Partanen TJ, Hogstedt C, Ahasan R, Aragón A, Arroyave ME, Jeyaratnam J, Kurppa K, Loewenson R, Lundberg I, Ngowi AVF, Mbakaya CFL, Stayner L, Steenland K, Weiderpass E, Wesseling C. Collaboration between developing and developed countries and between developing countries in occupational health research and surveillance. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1999;25(3):296—300.

Collaborative occupational health and safety studies between counterparts in developing and developed countries and between developing countries have demonstrated their potential for improving occupational health and safety. Such collaboration in occupational health and safety is encouraged in the development of infrastructure in research empowerment and capacity building. This action includes the setting of priorities, the identification and documentation of problems, sponsorship, data bases and surveillance systems, technical support, methodology, publishing, research and training programs, controlled intervention, information exchange, and networking. Examples of priorities in occupational health and safety in the developing world include the informal sector (informally hired and independent workers), temporary work, pesticides, accidents, dusts, carcinogens, solvents, ergonomics, women and child labor, human immunodeficiency virus/acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV/AIDS), and transfer of hazardous materials and technologies. The sustainability of occupational health and safety structures and functions in the developing countries is a primary concern. Socioethical principles emphasize local, national, mutual and global gains. Examples of collaboration are given. Pervasive problems and strategies toward their solution are highlighted. *Key terms* cooperation, empowerment, infrastructure, impact, priorities, sponsorship, sustainability, training, tropics.

Research on occupational health and safety is occasionally conducted jointly between developing and industrialized (“developed”) countries. Five percent of all health research takes place in the developing countries (1, 2), a

severe imbalance, considering their share of the world population and the severity of health problems in these countries. This disproportion prevails in all likelihood also for occupational health and safety research, which

- 1 Some elements of this article were presented in keynote addresses by the first 2 authors at the satellite workshop “North-South Research Collaboration — Successes, Failures, Prospects” of the International Symposium for Epidemiology in Occupational Health held in Cape Town, South Africa, on 22 September 1997.
- 2 Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland.
- 3 National Institute for Working Life, Solna, Sweden.
- 4 Department of Industrial and Production Engineering, Bangladesh University of Engineering and Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh.
- 5 Autonomous University of Nicaragua, León, Nicaragua.
- 6 Seguro Social, Santafé de Bogotá, Colombia.
- 7 National University of Singapore, Singapore.
- 8 Zimbabwe Congress of Trade Unions, Harare, Zimbabwe.
- 9 Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden.
- 10 Tropical Pesticide Research Institute, Arusha, Tanzania.
- 11 Kenya Medical Research Institute, Nairobi, Kenya.
- 12 National Institute for Occupational Health and Safety, Cincinnati, OH, United States.
- 13 School of Medicine, Federal University of Pelotas, Brazil.
- 14 Central American Institute of Studies on Toxic Substances, Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica.

Reprint requests to: Dr Timo J Partanen, Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Topeliuksenkatu 41 A, FIN-00250 Helsinki, Finland. [timo.partanen@occuphealth.fi]

is not always even adequate for the needs of these countries. Thus acute pesticide poisonings represent a major concern. Yet only 2% of all health-related research in these countries was done on acute pesticide poisonings (2). In a survey of 500 occupational and environmental epidemiologic studies undertaken in developing countries (3), only 65, or 13%, received funding from international donors. Studies conducted in Africa have generated a wealth of data. Yet less than 0.5% of occupational health and safety publications, including epidemiologic articles, are about or from Africa (4).

Collaborative studies have a potential for impact, however. Thus 24% of 53 occupational health and safety studies involving such collaborations and supported by the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) of Canada had contributed to changes in legislation, including banning of the use and importation of chemical products; 33% had contributed to changes in regulation; 38% resulted in changes in the accessibility of occupational health and safety information; 40% had facilitated changes in the type and organization of occupational health and safety information; 49% had led to improvements in occupational health and safety; and 65% had resulted in changes in the occupational health and safety practices (5).

Socioethical considerations

A workshop *Epidemiology in Occupational Health*, held in 1997 in Santafé de Bogotá, Colombia, identified the following principles for collaborative research in occupational health and safety: compatibility with the culture of the target population; nondiscrimination against some sector of the working population, such as women; high national or regional priority; integration with occupational health and safety legislation, regulations and data systems and their development; inclusion, whenever feasible, of considerations of general environment and the import-export of hazards; encouragement of participation and support from trade unions and nongovernmental organizations; and autonomy for the collaborative research group in the selection of research methods and in the publication of the results. Assurance of appropriateness for human subject participation, including informed consent in nonobservational studies, and notification of subjects of significant findings can be added.

Forms of collaboration

Collaboration incorporates programs, research, meetings, conferences, symposiums, workshops, and publications. Technical support and training enhances instrumentation (eg, biological and environmental sampling and analysis and computing); availability of literature and other data bases, data management and analysis; local surveillance systems; and publishing. Investigators from developing countries can participate in academic programs at

universities in developed countries, using data from their home nation for their theses. This effort would empower developing countries to enhance postgraduate competence in occupational health and safety and to create peers in the developing countries that would address occupational health and safety issues in collaboration with developed countries. Training and skills transfer in occupational health and safety research and practice should be an express goal in every collaborative study.

Collaboration between developing countries

Circumstances in developing regions call for mutual collaboration. The Latin American-Caribbean and also the African regions may benefit from upgrading some centers into a regional role. The Central American Institute of Studies on Toxic Substances, Universidad Nacional, Heredia, Costa Rica, is a recent example. Promoting the exchange of data and experiences between developing countries and regions represents high priority. Supporting regional journals is a continuous matter of importance. It is of equal importance that scientists from developing countries be encouraged and assisted in disseminating their research results in national and regional journals and newsletters.

Networking

Networking takes on various forms. There are informal networks of individuals, networks of institutes (eg, the WHO collaborating centers of occupational health and health of seafarers, which unfortunately have meager representation from the developing world, especially Africa), action or research networks, or computer-based networks. A tripartite Asian-Pacific Network on Occupational Safety and Health Information has been established as part of an International Labour Office/Finnish International Development Agency (ILO/ FINNIDA) project between 24 countries in the region (6). An information network for occupational health and safety is being established between Botswana, Lesotho, and Swaziland (7).

Examples

Supported by IDRC, the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, the Agricultural University of Wageningen (The Netherlands), and the University of Manchester (United Kingdom), the East Africa Pesticide Network (EAPN) (8–12), a joint field study of the Kenya Medical Research Institute, the Tropical Pesticide Research Institute (Tanzania), and the Occupational Health Department, Ministry of Labor (Uganda), was conducted on several farms and estates. Data were collected on pesticide use, crops, poisonings, knowledge, attitudes, work practices, symptoms, biomarkers of exposure, and related matters. The network has brought about stricter regulation on use and importation of pesticides. In Nicaragua, a collaborative program resulted in the emergence of an

occupational health and safety group with new tools to tackle problems. It led to growing collaboration between Central American institutions. This is a research training program sponsored by the Research Department (SAREC) of the Swedish International Development Authority, run since 1987. It has resulted in the completion of three doctoral programs in occupational epidemiology and the publication of several reports (13–18). The program addresses miners' health, lung function in workers with various exposures, and neurotoxic effects of organophosphate insecticides. United States collaboration include studies of the National Cancer Institute (NCI), for example, on workers exposed to benzene and silica dust (19–23), and studies of the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (NIOSH) in Mexico, Bolivia, and Colombia (24–26). NIOSH has recently developed occupational health and safety agreements with Mexico, Vietnam, and South Africa. Several occupational health and safety studies conducted in developing countries have been supported by IDRC, Canada (5). Substantial collaboration has been going on in occupational health and safety since the late 1970s between developing and developed countries involving South Africa, a key regional country for Africa (27–30). Pesticide hazards have been an important topic.

Priorities

Research priorities in occupational health and safety are not universal, and they need to be locally identified to maximize impact. Priority setting for health research and its infrastructures in particular circumstances needs to consider matters of both form (programs, studies, registers, interventions, information dissemination, training, manpower, material resources, etc) and content (particular exposures, physical loads, diseases, accidents, etc). By cross-tabulation, forms and contents make up cells that can then be assigned priority values. Urgency, duration, and further dimensions can be added. A sample listing of extensive occupational health and safety problems includes the informal sector and small-scale industries, temporary and seasonal work, underemployment, and the maquiladora industry (31–34); pesticides (8–12, 14, 35–43); accidents; dusts (44–49); carcinogenic exposures (44, 49–51); solvents (50, 51); noise; ergonomics (52); women (27); child labor (51); violence; infections (27); transfer of hazards (27); and work-related HIV/AIDS matters. Lack of human and material resources in the detection and documentation of exposures and health outcomes, in occupational health and safety research and practice and in data systems, registries, occupational health services, surveillance, risk surveys, and hazard assessment is evident (4, 39, 43, 53–59). The identification and documentation of problems are of particular importance. For example, underdiagnosis and underreporting undermine surveillance systems.

A satellite symposium organized in Cape Town by the International Committee on Occupational Health and the International Society for Environmental and Occupational Health (ISEOH) identified two topics for large-scale interdisciplinary studies in various localities in the tropics: *health hazards in the informal sector of the Third World*, and *working and living conditions among agricultural women in the tropics*. These titles cover huge populations in the Third World and entail a host of health hazards and ample room for prevention. A third topic was identified as *the burden of disease and the discriminative policies on HIV/AIDS*. Such discriminative policies currently deny people work, insurance, and other social amenities purely on a person's HIV status.

Approaches

Feasibility assessment is needed before a collaborative study is seriously considered. Such an assessment should include a systematic evaluation of the culture, relevance or priority, acceptability, social impact, resource, sustainability, and technical components of feasibility. Scientific quality must be maintained, with a proper balance between replicability, flexibility, and creativity. Surveillance and field studies with appropriate instrumentation are frequently choices of preference. A variety of available data sources can be exploited. An anthropology or qualitative (unstructured) component can be considered to be included in an occupational health and safety study for improving the understanding of the social structures and dynamics that need to be grasped for successful collaboration, proper interpretation of the study results, and, ultimately, the development and evaluation of preventive intervention. Feasibility considerations frequently dictate what can be done. Thus 15 occupational health and safety reports from Ecuador were evaluated for motivation, methods, and outcomes (53). The following three recurring themes emerged: a preponderance of cross-sectional designs, limitations in exposure assessment, and non-standardized measures of outcomes. Yet the research was found to be of considerable public importance.

Local hazard or health assessment surveys are occasionally called for. Such a survey was undertaken in an East African cotton mill. It related dust exposure levels and exposure duration with the prevalence of byssinotic symptoms among the workers (57).

Process evaluation ("operational research") could be undertaken as a standard procedure. This evaluation requires the keeping of a logbook on how the actual procedures succeeded in following the original protocol; what the specific problems were; what the causes of the problems were; and how the problems were solved.

Participatory methods (60) can be applied that involve a joint initial definition and a reassessment of goals, hypotheses, methods, and the dissemination of

results between the parties. An intervention element can be included.

Sponsorship

Potential sponsors include sources in the developing countries themselves and supporters in richer countries. While it is impossible to name any sources that would be willing to consider a great number of studies, some might be considered in special circumstances, for example United Nations organizations such as the World Health Organization and the Pan American Health Organization (PAHO), the International Labour Office (ILO), national development aid agencies, the European Union (EU); the International Commission on Occupational Health, various nongovernmental organizations and institutes, and foundations such as Rockefeller, Fogarty and Kellogg.

Recurrent problems

As the support from richer countries ends, activities in a developing nation often dies. This possibility needs to be realized at the outset, and counteractive strategies are needed to ensure sustainability. Capacity building and frequent contacts are essential. Several institutions and sponsors can be considered for joint support.

Recruitment of study personnel is a matter of careful judgment. Scientific qualifications, social skills, language mastery, proficiency in the management of novel circumstances, open-mindedness, and commitment need to be seriously evaluated. The potential for turnover of the project personnel needs be recognized in case of needs for re-recruiting and training. Further delays that need to be anticipated may result from unscheduled circumstances, such as social unrest, climatic circumstances, or shortage of water, fuel or electricity. Opposition may, in some cases, be expected from management, labor, or government.

Concluding remarks

We encourage the extension of collaboration between developing and developed countries and between developing countries in occupational health and safety research. Collaboration is essential in research, in training, and in the dissemination and utilization of research results. Sponsoring agencies and institutions should consider encouraging the support of such collaboration. Scientists from the developed countries should seriously consider the benefits of joint efforts with the developing countries in enhancing surveillance systems, ad hoc studies, training programs, and publishing.

References

1. World Health Organization (WHO). Sixth report on the world health situation: part one, global analysis. Geneva: WHO, 1980.
2. Jeyaratnam J. 1984 and occupational health in developing countries. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1985;11:229—34.
3. Levy B, Kjellström T, Forget G, Jones MRD, Pollier L. On-going research in occupational health and environmental epidemiology in developing countries. *Arch Environ Health* 1992;47:231—5.
4. Loewenson R. Occupational health epidemiology in Africa: drought on fertile grounds. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1995;1:260—8.
5. Rutherford BA, Forget G. The impact of support of occupational health research on national development in developing countries. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1997;3:68—83.
6. Kurppa K, Gold D. Networks on occupational safety and health in Asia and the Pacific. *Asian Pac Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1995;2:32—3.
7. Shilla CPN. Information networking in Africa: a practical example — Swaziland, Lesotho and Botswana. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1995;5:4.
8. Mbakaya CFL, Ohayo-Mitoko GJA, Ngowi AVF, Mbabazi R, Simwa JM, Maeda DN, et al. The status of pesticide usage in East Africa. *Afr J Health Sci* 1994;1:37—41.
9. Mbakaya CFL, Ohayo-Mitoko GJA, Simwa JM, Ngowi VAF, Maeda DN, Stephens J, et al. Pesticides in crop protection in East Africa. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6:34—6.
10. Ngowi AVF. The evaluation of effects of pesticides on farm workers in the coffee growing areas of Tanzania [MSc Thesis]. Manchester (UK): School of Epidemiology and Health Sciences, Center of Occupational Health, University of Manchester, 1997.
11. Ohayo-Mitoko GJA. Occupational pesticide exposure among Kenyan agricultural workers: an epidemiological and public health perspective [dissertation]. Wageningen: Agricultural University of Wageningen, 1997.
12. Ohayo-Mitoko GJA, Heederik DJJ, Kromhout H, Omondi BEO, Boleij JSM. Acetylcholinesterase inhibition as an indicator of organophosphate and carbamate poisoning in Kenyan agricultural workers. *Int J Environ Occup Health* 1997;3:210—20.
13. Anger WK, Cassitto MG, Linag YX, Amador R, Hoosima J, Crislip DW, et al. Comparison of performance from three continents on the WHO-Recommended Neurobehavioral Core Test Battery. *Environ Res* 1993;62:125—47.
14. McConnell R, Hruska A. An epidemic of pesticide poisoning in Nicaragua: implications for prevention in developing countries. *Am J Public Health* 1993;83:1559—62.
15. Pena R, Thorn A, Aragon A. Results from a community intervention project in the Nicaraguan mining community El Limon: an overview. *Soc Sci Med* 1994;38:623—9.
16. Amador RR, Lundberg I, Escalona E. Development of a questionnaire in Spanish on neurotoxic symptoms. *Am J Ind Med* 1995;28:505—20.
17. Keifer M, McConnell R, Pacheco AF, Daniel W, Rosenstock L. Estimating underreported pesticide poisonings in Nicaragua. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;30:195—201.
18. Quintero C, Bodin L, Andersson K. Reference spirometric values in healthy Nicaraguan male workers. *Am J Ind Med* 1996;29:41—8.
19. McLaughlin J, Chen J, Dosemeci M, Chen RA, Rexing SH, Wu Z, et al. A nested case-control study of lung cancer among silica exposed workers in China. *Br J Ind Med* 1992;49:167—71.
20. Chen J, McLaughlin J, Zhang JY, Stone BJ, Luo J, Chen RA, et al. Mortality among dust-exposed Chinese mine and pottery workers. *J Occup Med* 1992;34:311—6.

21. Chen SY, Hayes RB, Wang JM, Liang SR, Blair A. Nonmalignant respiratory disease among hematite mine workers in China. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1989;15:319–22.
22. Chen SY, Hayes RB, Liang SR, Li QG, Stewart PA, Blair A. Mortality experience of haematite workers in China. *Br J Ind Med* 1990;47:175–81.
23. Hayes RB, Yin SN, Dosemeci M, Li GL, Wacholder S, Travis LB, et al. Benzene and the dose-related incidence of hematologic neoplasms in China. Chinese Academy of Preventive Medicine- National Cancer Institute Benzene Study Group. *JNCI* 1997;89(14):1065–71.
24. Steenland K, Cedillo L, Tucker J, Hines C, Sorensen K, Deddens J, Cruz V. Thyroid hormones and cytogenetic outcomes in backpack sprayers using ethylenebis (dithiocarbamate) (EDBC) fungicides in Mexico. *Environ Health Perspect* 1997;105:1126–30.
25. National Institute for Occupational Safety Health (NIOSH). National Smelting Company, Oruro, Bolivia. Cincinnati (OH): NIOSH, 1994. NIOSH HETA 94–0109–2494.
26. National Institute for Occupational Safety Health (NIOSH). Ecopetrol, Barrancabermeja, Colombia. Cincinnati (OH): NIOSH, 1994. NIOSH HETA 94–0253–2451.
27. Christiani DC, Durvusala R, Myers JE. Occupational health in developing countries: review of research needs. *Am J Ind Med* 1990;17:393–401.
28. London L. Agrichemical hazards in the South African farming sector. *South Afr Med J* 1992;81:560–4.
29. London L, Myers JE, Nell VN, Taylor T, Thompson ML. An investigation into neurological and neurobehavioural effects of long-term agrichemical use among deciduous fruit farm workers in the Western Cape, South Africa. *Environ Res* 1997;73:132–45.
30. London L, Nell V, Thompson M-L, Myers JE. Effects of long-term organophosphate exposures on neurological symptoms, vibration sense and tremor among South African farm workers. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1998;24:18–29.
31. Jeyaratnam J, Chia KS, editors. Occupational health in national development. Singapore: World Scientific Publishing Co, 1994.
32. Tornberg V, Forastieri V, Riwa PG, Swai D. Occupational safety and health in the informal sector. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6:30–3.
33. Loewenson R. Occupational health in small-scale industries in Africa. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1995;5:35–7.
34. Cedillo Becerril LA, Harlow SD, Sanchez RA, Sanchez Monroy D. Establishing priorities for occupational health research among women working in the maquiladora industry. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1997;3:221–30.
35. Forget G. Pesticide poisoning as an occupational hazard. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1991;2:34–8.
36. Forget G. Pesticides and the Third World. *J Toxicol Environ Health* 1991;32:11–31.
37. Keifer MC, Murray DI, Amador R, Corriols M, Gonzales D, Molieri JJ, et al. Solving the pesticide problem in Latin America: a model for health-sector empowerment. *N Solutions* 1997;winter:26–31.
38. Partanen T, Kurppa K, Ngowi VF. Occupational pesticide hazards in developing countries: epidemiological considerations. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1991;2:46–51.
39. Wesseling C. Health effects from pesticide use in Costa Rica — an epidemiologic approach [dissertation]. Stockholm: Karolinska Institute, 1997.
40. Wesseling C, Castillo L, Elinder C-G. Pesticide poisonings in Costa Rica. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 1993;19:227–35.
41. Wesseling C, Hogstedt C, Picado A, Johansson L. Unintentional paraquat poisonings among agricultural workers in Costa Rica: a report of fifteen cases. *Am J Ind Med* 1997;K32:433–41.
42. Wesseling C, Ahlbom A, Antich D, Rodriguez AC, Castro R. Cancer in banana plantation workers in Costa Rica. *Int J Epidemiol* 1996;25:1125–31.
43. Wesseling C, McConnell R, Partanen T, Hogstedt C. Agricultural pesticide use in developing countries: health effects and research needs. *Int J Health Services* 1997;27:273–308.
44. Hnizdo E. An overview of dust-related cancers in Africa. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;7:4–7.
45. Sakari WDO, Muchiri FK. Exposure to silica dusts in Africa — example from Kenya. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;7:8–9.
46. Mengesha YA, Bekele A. Dust exposure in Ethiopia. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;7:14–6.
47. Trung LV, Tu NTH, Barnhart S. Pneumoconiosis prevention programme in Vietnam. *Asian Pac Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;4:32–4.
48. Siang LH. Eradication of the silicosis problem in Singapore. *Asian Pac Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;4:40–1.
49. Giannasi F, Thébaud-Mony A. Occupational exposures to asbestos in Brazil. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1997;3:150–7.
50. Pearce N, Matos E, Boffetta P, Kogevinas M, Vainio H. Occupational exposures to carcinogens in developing countries. *Ann Acad Med Singapore* 1994;23:684–9.
51. Pearce N, Matos E, Vainio H, Boffetta P, Kogevinas M, editors. Occupational cancer in developing countries. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer, Institute of Occupational Health, Finland, International Labour Office, 1994. IARC scientific publications, no 129.
52. Scott PA. Ergonomics in Southern Africa: from principles to practice. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1997;7:28–30.
53. Cullen MR, Harari R. Occupational health research in developing countries: the experience of Ecuador. *Int J Occup Environ Health* 1995;1:39–46.
54. Partanen T, Rodriguez AC, Wesseling C, Mwakajinga M. Signals indicating a new occupational epidemic. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1994;4:30–31.
55. Partanen T. Improving the work environment by means of risk surveys. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6:28–29.
56. Rantanen J. Global trends in occupational health services. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6 suppl 2:9–27.
57. Riwa P, Tuppurainen M. Occurrence of byssinotic symptoms in two cotton factories in Tanzania. *East Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1990;suppl 1:91–3.
58. Sekimpi DK. Challenges to occupational health services in Uganda in the wake of the Structural Adjustment Programme (SAP). *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6:41–43.
59. Loewenson R. Occupational health developments in South Africa. *Afr Newsl Occup Health Safety* 1996;6:37–39.
60. Loewenson R, Biocca M, Laurell AC, Hogstedt C. Participatory approaches in occupational health research: a review. *Med Lav* 1995;86:263–271.