

## Short communication. Scand J Work Environ Health – online first

The relationship between office type and job satisfaction: Testing a multiple mediation model through ease of interaction and well-being<sup>1</sup>

by Tobias Otterbring, PhD,<sup>2</sup> Jörg Pareigis, PhD, Erik Wästlund, PhD, Alexander Makrygiannis, BA, Anton Lindström BA

1. *Supplementary material*
2. *Correspondence to: Tobias Otterbring, Department of Management/MAPP, Aarhus University, Fuglesangs Allé 4, 8210 Aarhus V, Denmark. [E-mail: toot@mgmt.au.dk]*

Measures of subjective wellbeing from the STS scale, slightly rephrased to fit the context and with items rated on nine-point semantic differential scales ranging from -4 to 4 ( $\alpha = 0.90$ ).

Please indicate how you have felt at your office during the last week:

1. Tired (-4) – alert (4)
2. Bored (-4) – enthusiastic (4)
3. Fed up (-4) – engaged (4)
4. Stressed (-4) – calm (4)
5. Time pressed (-4) – relaxed (4)
6. My office is bad (-4) – good (4)
7. The standard of the work environment at my office is low (-4) – high (4)
8. The layout of my office is the worst I can think of (-4) – the best I can think of (4)

Correlations between office type (cellular office = 1; shared office = 2; small open office = 3; medium-sized open office = 4) and ease of interaction at work, subjective wellbeing, and job satisfaction.

	Office Type	Ease of Interaction	Subjective Wellbeing	Job Satisfaction
<b>Office Type</b>	1	-0.20**	-0.24**	-0.12*
<b>Ease of Interaction</b>	-	1	-0.62**	-0.49**
<b>Subjective Wellbeing</b>	-	-	1	-0.49**
<b>Job Satisfaction</b>	-	-	-	1

\*  $P < 0.05$

\*\*  $P \leq 0.001$